International Valuation Standards 2017



International Valuation Standards 2017



Copyright © 2017 International Valuation Standards Council.

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be translated, reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form either in whole or in part or by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the International Valuation Standards Council.

Please address publication and copyright matters to: International Valuation Standards Council, 1 King Street, LONDON EC2V 8AU United Kingdom Email: contact@ivsc.org www.ivsc.org

ISBN: 978-0-9931513-0-9

The International Valuation Standards Council, the authors and the publishers do not accept responsibility for loss caused to any person who acts or refrains from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

Typeset and printed by Page Bros, Norwich



Contents

Introduction	1
Glossary	3
IVS Framework	6
General Standards	
IVS 101 Scope of Work	9
IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance	12
IVS 103 Reporting	14
IVS 104 Bases of Value	16
IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods	29
Asset Standards	
IVS 200 Business and Business Interests	49
IVS 210 Intangible Assets	57
IVS 300 Plant and Equipment	74
IVS 400 Real Property Interests	81
IVS 410 Development Property	88
IVS 500 Financial Instruments	99
Index	108

Introduction

The International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC) is an independent, not-for-profit organisation committed to advancing quality in the valuation profession. Our primary objective is to build confidence and public trust in valuation by producing standards and securing their universal adoption and implementation for the valuation of *assets* across the world. We believe that International Valuation Standards (IVS) are a fundamental part of the financial system, along with high levels of professionalism in applying them.

Valuations are widely used and relied upon in financial and other markets, whether for inclusion in financial statements, for regulatory compliance or to support secured lending and transactional activity. The International Valuation Standards (IVS) are standards for undertaking valuation assignments using generally recognised concepts and principles that promote transparency and consistency in valuation practice. The IVSC also promotes leading practice approaches for the conduct and competency of professional valuers.

The IVSC Standards Board is the body responsible for setting the IVS. The Board has autonomy in the development of its agenda and approval of its publications. In developing the IVS, the Board:

- follows established due process in the development of any new standard, including consultation with stakeholders (valuers, users of valuation services, regulators, valuation professional organisations, etc) and public exposure of all new standards or material alterations to existing standards,
- liaises with other bodies that have a standard-setting function in the financial markets.
- conducts outreach activities including round-table discussions with invited constituents and targeted discussions with specific users or user groups.

The objective of the IVS is to increase the confidence and trust of users of valuation services by establishing transparent and consistent valuation practices. A standard will do one or more of the following:

- · identify or develop globally accepted principles and definitions,
- identify and promulgate considerations for the undertaking of valuation assignments and the reporting of valuations,
- identify specific matters that require consideration and methods commonly used for valuing different types of *assets* or liabilities.

The IVS consist of mandatory requirements that *must* be followed in order to state that a valuation was performed in compliance with the IVS. Certain aspects of the standards do not direct or mandate any particular course of action, but provide fundamental principles and concepts that *must* be considered in undertaking a valuation.

The IVS are arranged as follows:

The IVS Framework

This serves as a preamble to the IVS. The IVS Framework consists of general principles for valuers following the IVS regarding objectivity, judgement, competence and acceptable departures from the IVS.

IVS General Standards

These set forth requirements for the conduct of all valuation assignments including establishing the terms of a valuation engagement, bases of value, valuation approaches and methods, and reporting. They are designed to be applicable to valuations of all types of assets and for any valuation purpose.

IVS Asset Standards

The Asset Standards include requirements related to specific types of assets. These requirements must be followed in conjunction with the General Standards when performing a valuation of a specific asset type. The Asset Standards include certain background information on the characteristics of each asset type that influence value and additional asset-specific requirements on common valuation approaches and methods used.

What is in this Book?

This book includes the IVS Framework, the IVS General Standards and the IVS *Asset* Standards approved by the IVSC Standards Board on 15 December 2016, with an effective date of **1 July 2017**. Early adoption of these standards is allowed.

Future Changes to these Standards

The IVSC Standards Board intends to continuously review the IVS and update or clarify the standards as needed to meet stakeholder and market needs. The Board has continuing projects that *may* result in additional standards being introduced or amendments being made to the standards in this publication at any time. News on current projects and any impending or approved changes can be found on the IVSC website at www.ivsc.org.

Glossary

10. Overview of Glossary

- 10.1. This glossary defines certain terms used in the International Valuation Standards
- 10.2. This glossary does not attempt to define basic valuation, accounting or finance terms, as valuers are assumed to have an understanding of such terms (see definition of "valuer").

20. Defined Terms

20.1. Asset or Assets

To assist in the readability of the standards and to avoid repetition, the words "asset" and "assets" refer generally to items that might be subject to a valuation engagement. Unless otherwise specified in the standard, these terms can be considered to mean "asset, group of assets, liability, group of liabilities, or group of assets and liabilities".

20.2. Client

The word "client" refers to the person, persons, or entity for whom the valuation is performed. This may include external clients (ie, when a valuer is engaged by a third-party client) as well as internal clients (ie, valuations performed for an employer).

20.3. Jurisdiction

The word "jurisdiction" refers to the legal and regulatory environment in which a valuation engagement is performed. This generally includes laws and regulations set by governments (eg, country, state and municipal) and, depending on the *purpose*, rules set by certain regulators (eg, banking authorities and securities regulators).

20.4. May

The word "may" describes actions and procedures that valuers have a responsibility to consider. Matters described in this fashion require the valuer's attention and understanding. How and whether the valuer implements these matters in the valuation engagement will depend on the exercise of professional judgement in the circumstances consistent with the objectives of the standards.

20.5. Must

The word "must" indicates an unconditional responsibility. The valuer must fulfill responsibilities of this type in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the requirement applies.

20.6. Participant

The word "participant" refers to the relevant participants pursuant to the basis (or bases) of value used in a valuation engagement (see IVS 104 Bases of Value). Different bases of value require valuers to consider different perspectives, such as those of "market participants" (eg, Market Value, IFRS Fair Value) or a particular owner or prospective buyer (eg, Investment Value).

20.7. Purpose

The word "purpose" refers to the reason(s) a valuation is performed. Common purposes include (but are not limited to) financial reporting, tax reporting, litigation support, transaction support, and to support secured lending decisions.

20.8. **Should**

The word "should" indicates responsibilities that are presumptively mandatory. The valuer must comply with requirements of this type unless the valuer demonstrates that alternative actions which were followed under the circumstances were sufficient to achieve the objectives of the standards.

In the rare circumstances in which the *valuer* believes the objectives of the standard can be met by alternative means, the *valuer must* document why the indicated action was not deemed to be necessary and/or appropriate.

If a standard provides that the *valuer* "*should*" consider an action or procedure, consideration of the action or procedure is presumptively mandatory, while the action or procedure is not.

20.9. Significant and/or Material

Assessing significance and *materiality* require professional judgement. However, that judgement *should* be made in the following context:

- Aspects of a valuation (including inputs, assumptions, special assumptions, and methods and approaches applied) are considered to be significant/material if their application and/or impact on the valuation could reasonably be expected to influence the economic or other decisions of users of the valuation; and judgments about materiality are made in light of the overall valuation engagement and are affected by the size or nature of the subject asset.
- As used in these standards, "material/materiality" refers to materiality
 to the valuation engagement, which may be different from materiality
 considerations for other purposes, such as financial statements and
 their audits.

20.10. Subject or Subject Asset

These terms refer to the *asset(s)* valued in a particular valuation engagement.

20.11. Valuation Purpose or Purpose of Valuation

See "Purpose".

20.12. Valuation Reviewer

A "valuation reviewer" is a professional valuer engaged to review the work of another valuer. As part of a valuation review, that professional may perform certain valuation procedures and/or provide an opinion of value.

20.13. Valuer

A "valuer" is an individual, group of individuals or a firm who possesses the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation in an objective, unbiased and competent manner. In some *jurisdictions*, licensing is required before one can act as a valuer.

20.14. Weight

The word "weight" refers to the amount of reliance placed on a particular indication of value in reaching a conclusion of value (eg, when a single method is used, it is afforded 100% weight).

20.15. Weighting

The word "weighting" refers to the process of analysing and reconciling differing indications of values, typically from different methods and/or approaches. This process does not include the averaging of valuations, which is not acceptable.

IVS Framework

Contents	Paragraphs
Compliance with Standards	10
Assets and Liabilities	20
Valuer	30
Objectivity	40
Competence	50
Departures	60

10. Compliance with Standards

10.1. When a statement is made that a valuation will be, or has been, undertaken in accordance with the IVS, it is implicit that the valuation has been prepared in compliance with all relevant standards issued by the IVSC.

20. Assets and Liabilities

20.1. The standards can be applied to the valuation of both assets and liabilities. To assist the legibility of these standards, the words asset or assets have been defined to include liability or liabilities and groups of assets, liabilities, or assets and liabilities, except where it is expressly stated otherwise, or is clear from the context that liabilities are excluded.

30. Valuer

30.1. Valuer has been defined as "an individual, group of individuals, or a firm possessing the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to undertake a valuation in an objective, unbiased and competent manner. In some jurisdictions, licensing is required before one can act as a valuer. Because a valuation reviewer must also be a valuer, to assist with the legibility of these standards, the term valuer includes valuation reviewers except where it is expressly stated otherwise, or is clear from the context that valuation reviewers are excluded

40. Objectivity

40.1. The process of valuation requires the *valuer* to make impartial judgements as to the reliability of inputs and assumptions. For a valuation to be credible, it is important that those judgements are made in a way that promotes transparency and minimises the influence of any subjective factors on the process. Judgement used in a valuation *must* be applied objectively to avoid biased analyses, opinions and conclusions.

40.2. It is a fundamental expectation that, when applying these standards, appropriate controls and procedures are in place to ensure the necessary degree of objectivity in the valuation process so that the results are free from bias. The IVSC Code of Ethical Principles for Professional Valuers provides an example of an appropriate framework for professional conduct.

50. Competence

- 50.1. Valuations *must* be prepared by an individual or firm having the appropriate technical skills, experience and knowledge of the subject of the valuation, the market(s) in which it trades and the *purpose of the valuation*.
- 50.2. If a *valuer* does not possess all of the necessary technical skills, experience and knowledge to perform all aspects of a valuation, it is acceptable for the *valuer* to seek assistance from specialists in certain aspects of the overall assignment, providing this is disclosed in the scope of work (see IVS 101 *Scope of Work*) and the report (see IVS 103 *Reporting*).
- 50.3. The *valuer must* have the technical skills, experience and knowledge to understand, interpret and utilise the work of any specialists.

60. Departures

- 60.1. A "departure" is a circumstance where specific legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements must be followed that differ from some of the requirements within IVS. Departures are mandatory in that a valuer must comply with legislative, regulatory and other authoritative requirements appropriate to the purpose and jurisdiction of the valuation to be in compliance with IVS. A valuer may still state that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS when there are departures in these circumstances.
- 60.2. The requirement to depart from IVS pursuant to legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements takes precedence over all other IVS requirements.
- 60.3. As required by IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, para 20.3 (n) and IVS 103 *Reporting*, para 10.2 the nature of any departures *must* be identified (for example, identifying that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS and local tax regulations). If there are any departures that *significantly* affect the nature of the procedures performed, inputs and assumptions used, and/or valuation conclusion(s), a *valuer must* also disclose the specific legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements and the *significant* ways in which they differ from the requirements of IVS (for example, identifying that the relevant *jurisdiction* requires the use of only a market approach in a circumstance where IVS would indicate that the income approach *should* be used).
- 60.4. Departure deviations from IVS that are not the result of legislative, regulatory or other authoritative requirements are not permitted in valuations performed in accordance with IVS.

General Standards

IVS 101 Scope of Work

Contents	Paragraphs
Introduction	10
General Requirements	20
Changes to Scope of Work	30

10. Introduction

- 10.1. A scope of work (sometimes referred to as terms of engagement) describes the fundamental terms of a valuation engagement, such as the asset(s) being valued, the purpose of the valuation and the responsibilities of parties involved in the valuation.
- 10.2. This standard is intended to apply to a wide spectrum of valuation assignments, including:
 - (a) valuations performed by *valuers* for their own employers ("in-house valuations").
 - (b) valuations performed by *valuers* for *clients* other than their employers ("third-party valuations"), and
 - (c) valuation reviews where the reviewer may not be required to provide their own opinion of value.

20. General Requirements

- 20.1. All valuation advice and the work undertaken in its preparation *must* be appropriate for the intended *purpose*.
- 20.2. A valuer must ensure that the intended recipient(s) of the valuation advice understand(s) what is to be provided and any limitations on its use before it is finalised and reported.
- 20.3. A *valuer must* communicate the scope of work to its *client* prior to completion of the assignment, including the following:
 - (a) Identity of the *valuer*: The *valuer may* be an individual, group of individuals or a firm. If the *valuer* has any material connection or

involvement with the subject asset or the other parties to the valuation assignment, or if there are any other factors that could limit the valuer's ability to provide an unbiased and objective valuation, such factors must be disclosed at the outset. If such disclosure does not take place, the valuation assignment is not in compliance with IVS. If the valuer needs to seek material assistance from others in relation to any aspect of the assignment, the nature of such assistance and the extent of reliance must be made clear.

- (b) Identity of the *client(s)* (if any): Confirmation of those for whom the valuation assignment is being produced is important when determining the form and content of the report to ensure that it contains information relevant to their needs.
- (c) Identity of other intended users (if any): It is important to understand whether there are any other intended users of the valuation report, their identity and their needs, to ensure that the report content and format meets those users' needs.
- (d) Asset(s) being valued: The subject asset in the valuation assignment must be clearly identified.
- (e) The valuation currency: The currency for the valuation and the final valuation report or conclusion must be established. For example, a valuation might be prepared in euros or US dollars. This requirement is particularly important for valuation assignments involving assets in multiple countries and/or cash flows in multiple currencies.
- (f) Purpose of the valuation: The purpose for which the valuation assignment is being prepared must be clearly identified as it is important that valuation advice is not used out of context or for purposes for which it is not intended. The purpose of the valuation will also typically influence or determine the basis/bases of value to be used.
- (g) Basis/bases of value used: As required by IVS 104 Bases of Value, the valuation basis must be appropriate for the purpose of the valuation. The source of the definition of any basis of value used must be cited or the basis explained. This requirement is not applicable to a valuation review where no opinion of value is to be provided and the reviewer is not required to comment on the basis of value used.
- (h) Valuation date: The valuation date must be stated. If the valuation date is different from the date on which the valuation report is issued or the date on which investigations are to be undertaken or completed then where appropriate, these dates should be clearly distinguished.
- (i) The nature and extent of the *valuer's* work and any limitations thereon: Any limitations or restrictions on the inspection, enquiry and/or analysis in the valuation assignment *must* be identified (see IVS *Framework*, paras 60.1-60.4) If relevant information is not available because the conditions of the assignment restrict the investigation, these restrictions and any necessary assumptions or special assumptions (see IVS 104 Bases of Value, paras 200.1-200.5) made as a result of the restriction *must* be identified.

- (j) The nature and sources of information upon which the valuer relies: The nature and source of any relevant information that is to be relied upon and the extent of any verification to be undertaken during the valuation process must be identified.
- (k) *Significant* assumptions and/or special assumptions: All *significant* assumptions and special assumptions that are to be made in the conduct and reporting of the valuation assignment *must* be identified.
- (I) The type of report being prepared: The format of the report, that is, how the valuation will be communicated, *must* be described.
- (m) Restrictions on use, distribution and publication of the report: Where it is necessary or desirable to restrict the use of the valuation or those relying on it, the intended users and restrictions must be clearly communicated.
- (n) That the valuation will be prepared in compliance with IVS and that the valuer will assess the appropriateness of all significant inputs: The nature of any departures must be explained, for example, identifying that the valuation was performed in accordance with IVS and local tax regulations. See IVS Framework paras 60.1-60.4 relating to departures.
- 20.4. Wherever possible, the scope of work should be established and agreed between parties to a valuation assignment prior to the valuer beginning work. However, in certain circumstances, the scope of a valuation engagement may not be clear at the start of that engagement. In such cases, as the scope becomes clear, valuers must communicate and agree the scope of work to their client.
- 20.5. A written scope of work *may* not be necessary. However, since *valuers* are responsible for communicating the scope of work to their *client*, a written scope of work *should* be prepared.
- 20.6. Some aspects of the scope of work *may* be addressed in documents such as standing engagement instructions, master services agreements or a company's internal policies and procedures.

30. Changes to Scope of Work

- 30.1. Some of the items in para 20.3 may not be determinable until the valuation assignment is in progress, or changes to the scope may become necessary during the course of the assignment due to additional information becoming available or matters emerging that require further investigation. As such, whilst the scope of work may be established at the outset, it may also be established over time throughout the course of the assignment.
- 30.2. In valuation assignments where the scope of work changes over time, the items in para 20.3 and any changes made over time *must* be communicated to the *client* before the assignment is completed and the valuation report is issued.

IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance

Contents	Paragraphs
General Principle	10
Investigations	20
Valuation Record	30
Compliance with Other Standards	40

10. General Principle

10.1. To be compliant with IVS, valuation assignments, including valuation reviews, *must* be conducted in accordance with all of the principles set out in IVS that are appropriate for the *purpose* and the terms and conditions set out in the scope of work.

20. Investigations

- 20.1. Investigations made during the course of a valuation assignment must be appropriate for the purpose of the valuation assignment and the basis(es) of value. References to a valuation or valuation assignment in this standard include a valuation review.
- 20.2. Sufficient evidence must be assembled by means such as inspection, inquiry, computation and analysis to ensure that the valuation is properly supported. When determining the extent of evidence necessary, professional judgement is required to ensure the information to be obtained is adequate for the purpose of the valuation.
- 20.3. Limits may be agreed on the extent of the valuer's investigations. Any such limits must be noted in the scope of work. However, IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 10.7 requires valuers to perform sufficient analysis to evaluate all inputs and assumptions and their appropriateness for the valuation purpose. If limitations on investigations are so substantial that the valuer cannot sufficiently evaluate the inputs and assumptions, the valuation engagement must not state that it has been performed in compliance with IVS.
- 20.4. When a valuation assignment involves reliance on information supplied by a party other than the *valuer*, consideration *should* be given as to whether the information is credible or that the information *may* otherwise be relied upon without adversely affecting the credibility of the valuation opinion. *Significant* inputs provided to the *valuer* (eg, by management/owners), *may* require consideration, investigation and/or corroboration. In cases where credibility or reliability of information supplied cannot be supported, such information *should* not be used.
- 20.5. In considering the credibility and reliability of information provided, *valuers* should consider matters such as:
 - (a) the purpose of the valuation,
 - (b) the significance of the information to the valuation conclusion,

- (c) the expertise of the source in relation to the subject matter, and
- (d) whether the source is independent of either the *subject asset* and/or the recipient of the valuation (see IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, paras 20.3 (a)).
- 20.6. The purpose of the valuation, the basis of value, the extent and limits on the investigations and any sources of information that may be relied upon are part of the valuation assignment's scope of work that must be communicated to all parties to the valuation assignment (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).
- 20.7. If, during the course of an assignment, it becomes clear that the investigations included in the scope of work will not result in a credible valuation, or information to be provided by third parties is either unavailable or inadequate, the valuation assignment will not comply with IVS.

30. Valuation Record

30.1. A record *must* be kept of the work performed during the valuation process and the basis for the work on which the conclusions were reached for a reasonable period after completion of the assignment, having regard to any relevant statutory, legal or regulatory requirements. Subject to any such requirements, this record *should* include the key inputs, all calculations, investigations and analyses relevant to the final conclusion, and a copy of any draft or final report(s) provided to the *client*.

40. Compliance with Other Standards

- 40.1. As noted in the IVS *Framework*, when statutory, legal, regulatory or other authoritative requirements *must* be followed that differ from some of the requirements within IVS, a *valuer must* follow the statutory, legal, regulatory or other authoritative requirements (called a "*departure*"). Such a valuation has still been performed in overall compliance with IVS.
- 40.2. Most other sets of requirements, such as those written by Valuation Professional Organisations, other professional bodies, or firms' internal policies and procedures, will not contradict IVS and, instead, typically impose additional requirements on *valuers*. Such standards *may* be followed in addition to IVS without being seen as *departures* as long as all of the requirements in IVS are fulfilled.

IVS 103 Reporting

Contents	Paragraphs
Introduction	10
General Requirements	20
Valuation Records	30
Valuation Review Reports	40

10. Introduction

- 10.1. It is essential that the valuation report communicates the information necessary for proper understanding of the valuation or valuation review. A report *must* provide the intended users with a clear understanding of the valuation.
- 10.2. To provide useful information, the report must set out a clear and accurate description of the scope of the assignment, its purpose and intended use (including any limitations on that use) and disclosure of any assumptions, special assumptions (IVS 104 Bases of Value, para 200.4), significant uncertainty or limiting conditions that directly affect the valuation.
- 10.3. This standard applies to all valuation reports or reports on the outcome of a valuation review which may range from comprehensive narrative reports to abbreviated summary reports.
- 10.4. For certain asset classes there may be variations from these standards or additional requirements to be reported upon. These are found in the relevant IVS Asset Standards.

20. General Requirements

- 20.1. The purpose of the valuation, the complexity of the asset being valued and the users' requirements will determine the level of detail appropriate to the valuation report. The format of the report should be agreed with all parties as part of establishing a scope of work (see IVS 101 Scope of Work).
- 20.2. Compliance with this standard does not require a particular form or format of report; however, the report *must* be sufficient to communicate to the intended users the scope of the valuation assignment, the work performed and the conclusions reached.
- 20.3. The report should also be sufficient for an appropriately experienced valuation professional with no prior involvement with the valuation engagement to review the report and understand the items in paras 30.1 and 40.1, as applicable.

30. Valuation Reports

- 30.1. Where the report is the result of an assignment involving the valuation of an asset or assets, the report must convey the following, at a minimum:
 - (a) the scope of the work performed, including the elements noted in para 20.3 of IVS 101 Scope of Work, to the extent that each is applicable to the assignment,
 - (b) the approach or approaches adopted,
 - (c) the method or methods applied,
 - (d) the key inputs used,
 - (e) the assumptions made,
 - (f) the conclusion(s) of value and principal reasons for any conclusions reached, and
 - (g) the date of the report (which may differ from the valuation date).
- 30.2. Some of the above requirements may be explicitly included in a report or incorporated into a report through reference to other documents (engagement letters, scope of work documents, internal policies and procedures, etc).

40. Valuation Review Reports

- 40.1. Where the report is the result of a valuation review, the report *must* convey the following, at a minimum:
 - (a) the scope of the review performed, including the elements noted in para 20.3 of IVS 101 *Scope of Work* to the extent each is applicable to the assignment.
 - (b) the valuation report being reviewed and the inputs and assumptions upon which that valuation was based,
 - (c) the reviewer's conclusions about the work under review, including supporting reasons, and
 - (d) the date of the report (which *may* differ from the valuation date).
- 40.2. Some of the above requirements may be explicitly included in a report or incorporated into a report through reference to other documents (eg, engagement letters, scope of work documents, internal policies and procedures, etc).

IVS 104 Bases of Value

Contents	ragraphs
Introduction	10
Bases of Value	20
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Market Value	30
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Market Rent	40
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Equitable Value	50
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Investment Value/Worth	60
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Synergistic Value	70
IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Liquidation Value	80
Other Basis of Value – Fair Value (International Financial Reporting Standards)	90
Other Basis of Value – Fair Market Value (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD))) 100
Other Basis of Value – Fair Market Value (United States Internal Revenue Service)	110
Other Basis of Value – Fair Value (Legal/Statutory) in different jurisdictions	120
Premise of Value/Assumed Use	130
Premise of Value – Highest and Best Use	140
Premise of Value – Current Use/Existing Use	150
Premise of Value – Orderly Liquidation	160
Premise of Value – Forced Sale	170
Entity-Specific Factors	180
Synergies	190
Assumptions and Special Assumptions	200
Transaction Costs	210

Compliance with this mandatory standard requires a valuer to select the appropriate basis (or bases) of value and follow all applicable requirements associated with that basis of value, whether those requirements are included as part of this standard (for IVS-defined bases of value) or not (for non-IVS-defined bases of value).

10. Introduction

- 10.1. Bases of value (sometimes called standards of value) describe the fundamental premises on which the reported values will be based. It is critical that the basis (or bases) of value be appropriate to the terms and purpose of the valuation assignment, as a basis of value may influence or dictate a valuer's selection of methods, inputs and assumptions, and the ultimate opinion of value.
- 10.2. A valuer may be required to use bases of value that are defined by statute, regulation, private contract or other document. Such bases have to be interpreted and applied accordingly.

- 10.3. While there are many different bases of value used in valuations, most have certain common elements: an assumed transaction, an assumed date of the transaction and the assumed parties to the transaction.
- 10.4. Depending on the basis of value, the assumed transaction could take a number of forms:
 - (a) a hypothetical transaction,
 - (b) an actual transaction,
 - (c) a purchase (or entry) transaction,
 - (d) a sale (or exit) transaction, and/or
 - (e) a transaction in a particular or hypothetical market with specified characteristics.
- 10.5. The assumed date of a transaction will influence what information and data a *valuer* consider in a valuation. Most bases of value prohibit the consideration of information or market sentiment that would not be known or knowable with reasonable due diligence on the measurement/valuation date by *participants*.
- 10.6. Most bases of value reflect assumptions concerning the parties to a transaction and provide a certain level of description of the parties. In respect to these parties, they could include one or more actual or assumed characteristics, such as:
 - (a) hypothetical,
 - (b) known or specific parties,
 - (c) members of an identified/described group of potential parties,
 - (d) whether the parties are subject to particular conditions or motivations at the assumed date (eg, duress), and/or
 - (e) an assumed knowledge level.

20. Bases of Value

- 20.1. In addition to the IVS-defined bases of value listed below, the IVS have also provided a non-exhaustive list of other non-IVS-defined bases of value prescribed by individual *jurisdictional* law or those recognised and adopted by international agreement:
 - (a) IVS-defined bases of value:
 - 1. Market Value (section 30),
 - 2. Market Rent (section 40),
 - 3. Equitable Value (section 50),
 - 4. Investment Value/Worth (section 60),
 - 5. Synergistic Value (section 70), and
 - 6. Liquidation Value (section 80).

- (b) Other bases of value (non-exhaustive list):
 - 1. Fair Value (International Financial Reporting Standards) (section 90),
 - 2. Fair Market Value (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (section 100),
 - 3. Fair Market Value (United States Internal Revenue Service) (section 110), and
 - 4. Fair Value (Legal/Statutory) (section 120):
 - a. the Model Business Corporation Act, and
 - b. Canadian case law (Manning v Harris Steel Group Inc).
- 20.2. Valuers must choose the relevant basis (or bases) of value according to the terms and purpose of the valuation assignment. The valuer's choice of a basis (or bases) of value should consider instructions and input received from the client and/or its representatives. However, regardless of instructions and input provided to the valuer, the valuer should not use a basis (or bases) of value that is inappropriate for the intended purpose of the valuation (for example, if instructed to use an IVS-defined basis of value for financial reporting purposes under IFRS, compliance with IVS may require the valuer to use a basis of value that is not defined or mentioned in the IVS).
- 20.3. In accordance with IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, the basis of value *must* be appropriate for the *purpose* and the source of the definition of any basis of value used *must* be cited or the basis explained.
- 20.4. *Valuers* are responsible for understanding the regulation, case law and other interpretive guidance related to all bases of value used.
- 20.5. The bases of value illustrated in sections 90-120 of this standard are defined by organisations other than the IVSC and the onus is on the *valuer* to ensure they are using the relevant definition.
- 30. IVS-Defined Basis of Value Market Value
- 30.1. Market Value is the estimated amount for which an asset or liability should exchange on the valuation date between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's length transaction, after proper marketing and where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.
- 30.2. The definition of Market Value *must* be applied in accordance with the following conceptual framework:
 - (a) "The estimated amount" refers to a price expressed in terms of money payable for the asset in an arm's length market transaction. Market Value is the most probable price reasonably obtainable in the market on the valuation date in keeping with the market value definition. It is the best price reasonably obtainable by the seller and the most advantageous price reasonably obtainable by the buyer. This estimate specifically excludes an estimated price inflated or deflated by special terms or circumstances such as atypical financing, sale and leaseback arrangements, special considerations or concessions granted by anyone

- associated with the sale, or any element of value available only to a specific owner or purchaser.
- (b) "An asset or liability should exchange" refers to the fact that the value of an asset or liability is an estimated amount rather than a predetermined amount or actual sale price. It is the price in a transaction that meets all the elements of the Market Value definition at the valuation date
- (c) "On the valuation date" requires that the value is time-specific as of a given date. Because markets and market conditions *may* change, the estimated value *may* be incorrect or inappropriate at another time. The valuation amount will reflect the market state and circumstances as at the valuation date, not those at any other date.
- (d) "Between a willing buyer" refers to one who is motivated, but not compelled to buy. This buyer is neither over eager nor determined to buy at any price. This buyer is also one who purchases in accordance with the realities of the current market and with current market expectations, rather than in relation to an imaginary or hypothetical market that cannot be demonstrated or anticipated to exist. The assumed buyer would not pay a higher price than the market requires. The present owner is included among those who constitute "the market".
- (e) "And a willing seller" is neither an over eager nor a forced seller prepared to sell at any price, nor one prepared to hold out for a price not considered reasonable in the current market. The willing seller is motivated to sell the asset at market terms for the best price attainable in the open market after proper marketing, whatever that price may be. The factual circumstances of the actual owner are not a part of this consideration because the willing seller is a hypothetical owner.
- (f) "In an arm's length transaction" is one between parties who do not have a particular or special relationship, eg, parent and subsidiary companies or landlord and tenant, that may make the price level uncharacteristic of the market or inflated. The Market Value transaction is presumed to be between unrelated parties, each acting independently.
- (g) "After proper marketing" means that the asset has been exposed to the market in the most appropriate manner to effect its disposal at the best price reasonably obtainable in accordance with the Market Value definition. The method of sale is deemed to be that most appropriate to obtain the best price in the market to which the seller has access. The length of exposure time is not a fixed period but will vary according to the type of asset and market conditions. The only criterion is that there must have been sufficient time to allow the asset to be brought to the attention of an adequate number of market participants. The exposure period occurs prior to the valuation date.
- (h) "Where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently" presumes that both the willing buyer and the willing seller are reasonably informed about the nature and characteristics of the asset, its actual and potential uses, and the state of the market as of the valuation date. Each is further presumed to use that knowledge prudently to seek the price that is most favourable for their respective positions in the transaction. Prudence is assessed by referring to the state of the market at the

valuation date, not with the benefit of hindsight at some later date. For example, it is not necessarily imprudent for a seller to sell *assets* in a market with falling prices at a price that is lower than previous market levels. In such cases, as is true for other exchanges in markets with changing prices, the prudent buyer or seller will act in accordance with the best market information available at the time.

- (i) "And without compulsion" establishes that each party is motivated to undertake the transaction, but neither is forced or unduly coerced to complete it.
- 30.3. The concept of Market Value presumes a price negotiated in an open and competitive market where the *participants* are acting freely. The market for an *asset* could be an international market or a local market. The market could consist of numerous buyers and sellers, or could be one characterised by a limited number of market *participants*. The market in which the *asset* is presumed exposed for sale is the one in which the *asset* notionally being exchanged is normally exchanged.
- 30.4. The Market Value of an *asset* will reflect its highest and best use (see paras 140.1-140.5). The highest and best use is the use of an *asset* that maximises its potential and that is possible, legally permissible and financially feasible. The highest and best use *may* be for continuation of an *asset*'s existing use or for some alternative use. This is determined by the use that a market *participant* would have in mind for the *asset* when formulating the price that it would be willing to bid.
- 30.5. The nature and source of the valuation inputs *must* be consistent with the basis of value, which in turn *must* have regard to the *valuation purpose*. For example, various approaches and methods *may* be used to arrive at an opinion of value providing they use market-derived data. The market approach will, by definition, use market-derived inputs. To indicate Market Value, the income approach *should* be applied, using inputs and assumptions that would be adopted by *participants*. To indicate Market Value using the cost approach, the cost of an *asset* of equal utility and the appropriate depreciation *should* be determined by analysis of market-based costs and depreciation.
- 30.6. The data available and the circumstances relating to the market for the asset being valued must determine which valuation method or methods are most relevant and appropriate. If based on appropriately analysed market-derived data, each approach or method used should provide an indication of Market Value.
- 30.7. Market Value does not reflect attributes of an *asset* that are of value to a specific owner or purchaser that are not available to other buyers in the market. Such advantages *may* relate to the physical, geographic, economic or legal characteristics of an *asset*. Market Value requires the disregard of any such element of value because, at any given date, it is only assumed that there is a willing buyer, not a particular willing buyer.

40. IVS-Defined Basis of Value - Market Rent

- 40.1. Market Rent is the estimated amount for which an interest in real property should be leased on the valuation date between a willing lessor and a willing lessee on appropriate lease terms in an arm's length transaction, after proper marketing and where the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.
- 40.2. Market Rent *may* be used as a basis of value when valuing a lease or an interest created by a lease. In such cases, it is necessary to consider the contract rent and, where it is different, the market rent.
- 40.3. The conceptual framework supporting the definition of Market Value shown above can be applied to assist in the interpretation of Market Rent. In particular, the estimated amount excludes a rent inflated or deflated by special terms, considerations or concessions. The "appropriate lease terms" are terms that would typically be agreed in the market for the type of property on the valuation date between market *participants*. An indication of Market Rent *should* only be provided in conjunction with an indication of the principal lease terms that have been assumed.
- 40.4. Contract Rent is the rent payable under the terms of an actual lease. It *may* be fixed for the duration of the lease, or variable. The frequency and basis of calculating variations in the rent will be set out in the lease and *must* be identified and understood in order to establish the total benefits accruing to the lessor and the liability of the lessee.
- 40.5. In some circumstances the Market Rent *may* have to be assessed based on terms of an existing lease (eg, for rental determination *purposes* where the lease terms are existing and therefore not to be assumed as part of a notional lease).
- 40.6. In calculating Market Rent, the *valuer must* consider the following:
 - (a) in regard to a Market Rent subject to a lease, the terms and conditions of that lease are the appropriate lease terms unless those terms and conditions are illegal or contrary to overarching legislation, and
 - (b) in regard to a Market Rent that is not subject to a lease, the assumed terms and conditions are the terms of a notional lease that would typically be agreed in a market for the type of property on the valuation date between market participants.

50. IVS-Defined Basis of Value – Equitable Value

- 50.1. Equitable Value is the estimated price for the transfer of an *asset* or liability between identified knowledgeable and willing parties that reflects the respective interests of those parties.
- 50.2. Equitable Value requires the assessment of the price that is fair between two specific, identified parties considering the respective advantages or disadvantages that each will gain from the transaction. In contrast, Market Value requires any advantages or disadvantages that would not be available to, or incurred by, market *participants* generally to be disregarded.

- 50.3. Equitable Value is a broader concept than Market Value. Although in many cases the price that is fair between two parties will equate to that obtainable in the market, there will be cases where the assessment of Equitable Value will involve taking into account matters that have to be disregarded in the assessment of Market Value, such as certain elements of Synergistic Value arising because of the combination of the interests.
- 50.4. Examples of the use of Equitable Value include:
 - (a) determination of a price that is equitable for a shareholding in a nonquoted business, where the holdings of two specific parties may mean that the price that is equitable between them is different from the price that might be obtainable in the market, and
 - (b) determination of a price that would be equitable between a lessor and a lessee for either the permanent transfer of the leased asset or the cancellation of the lease liability.

60. IVS-Defined Basis of Value - Investment Value/Worth

- 60.1. Investment Value is the value of an *asset* to a particular owner or prospective owner for individual investment or operational objectives.
- 60.2. Investment Value is an entity-specific basis of value. Although the value of an *asset* to the owner *may* be the same as the amount that could be realised from its sale to another party, this basis of value reflects the benefits received by an entity from holding the *asset* and, therefore, does not involve a presumed exchange. Investment Value reflects the circumstances and financial objectives of the entity for which the valuation is being produced. It is often used for measuring investment performance.

70. IVS-Defined Basis of Value - Synergistic Value

70.1. Synergistic Value is the result of a combination of two or more assets or interests where the combined value is more than the sum of the separate values. If the synergies are only available to one specific buyer then Synergistic Value will differ from Market Value, as the Synergistic Value will reflect particular attributes of an asset that are only of value to a specific purchaser. The added value above the aggregate of the respective interests is often referred to as "marriage value."

80. IVS-Defined Basis of Value - Liquidation Value

- 80.1. Liquidation Value is the amount that would be realised when an asset or group of assets are sold on a piecemeal basis. Liquidation Value should take into account the costs of getting the assets into saleable condition as well as those of the disposal activity. Liquidation Value can be determined under two different premises of value:
 - (a) an orderly transaction with a typical marketing period (see section 160), or
 - (b) a forced transaction with a shortened marketing period (see section 170).
- 80.2. A valuer must disclose which premise of value is assumed.

90. Other Basis of Value – Fair Value (International Financial Reporting Standards)

- 90.1. IFRS 13 defines Fair Value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.
- 90.2. For financial reporting *purposes*, over 130 countries require or permit the use of International Accounting Standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board. In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board in the United States uses the same definition of Fair Value in Topic 820.
- 100. Other Basis of Value Fair Market Value (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD))
- 100.1. The OECD defines Fair Market Value as the price a willing buyer would pay a willing seller in a transaction on the open market.
- 100.2. OECD guidance is used in many engagements for international tax *purposes*.

110. Other Basis of Value – Fair Market Value (United States Internal Revenue Service)

110.1. For United States tax *purposes*, Regulation §20.2031-1 states: "The fair market value is the price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller, neither being under any compulsion to buy or to sell and both having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts."

120. Other Basis of Value – Fair Value (Legal/Statutory) in different jurisdictions

- 120.1. Many national, state and local agencies use Fair Value as a basis of value in a legal context. The definitions can vary *significantly* and *may* be the result of legislative action or those established by courts in prior cases.
- 120.2. Examples of US and Canadian definitions of Fair Value are as follows:
 - (a) The Model Business Corporation Act (MBCA) is a model set of law prepared by the Committee on Corporate Laws of the Section of Business Law of the American Bar Association and is followed by 24 States in the United States. The definition of Fair Value from the MBCA is the value of the corporation's shares determined:
 - (1) immediately before the effectuation of the corporate action to which the shareholder objects,
 - (2) using customary and current valuation concepts and techniques generally employed for similar businesses in the context of the transaction requiring appraisal, and
 - (3) without discounting for lack of marketability or minority status except, if appropriate, for amendments to the articles pursuant to section 13.02(a)(5).

(b) In 1986, the Supreme Court of British Columbia in Canada issued a ruling in *Manning v Harris Steel Group Inc.* that stated: "Thus, a 'fair' value is one which is just and equitable. That terminology contains within itself the concept of adequate compensation (indemnity), consistent with the requirements of justice and equity."

130. Premise of Value/Assumed Use

- 130.1. A Premise of Value or Assumed Use describes the circumstances of how an asset or liability is used. Different bases of value may require a particular Premise of Value or allow the consideration of multiple Premises of Value. Some common Premises of Value are:
 - (a) highest and best use.
 - (b) current use/existing use,
 - (c) orderly liquidation, and
 - (d) forced sale.

140. Premise of Value - Highest and Best Use

- 140.1. Highest and best use is the use, from a *participant* perspective, that would produce the highest value for an *asset*. Although the concept is most frequently applied to non-financial *assets* as many financial *assets* do not have alternative uses, there *may* be circumstances where the highest and best use of financial *assets* needs to be considered.
- 140.2. The highest and best use *must* be physically possible (where applicable), financially feasible, legally allowed and result in the highest value. If different from the current use, the costs to convert an *asset* to its highest and best use would impact the value.
- 140.3. The highest and best use for an asset may be its current or existing use when it is being used optimally. However, highest and best use may differ from current use or even be an orderly liquidation.
- 140.4. The highest and best use of an *asset* valued on a stand-alone basis *may* be different from its highest and best use as part of a group of *assets*, when its contribution to the overall value of the group *must* be considered.
- 140.5. The determination of the highest and best use involves consideration of the following:
 - (a) To establish whether a use is physically possible, regard will be had to what would be considered reasonable by *participants*.
 - (b) To reflect the requirement to be legally permissible, any legal restrictions on the use of the asset, eg, town planning/zoning designations, need to be taken into account as well as the likelihood that these restrictions will change.
 - (c) The requirement that the use be financially feasible takes into account whether an alternative use that is physically possible and legally permissible will generate sufficient return to a typical participant, after taking into account the costs of conversion to that use, over and above the return on the existing use.

150. Premise of Value - Current Use/Existing Use

150.1. Current use/existing use is the current way an *asset*, liability, or group of *assets* and/or liabilities is used. The current use *may* be, but is not necessarily, also the highest and best use.

160. Premise of Value - Orderly Liquidation

- 160.1. An orderly liquidation describes the value of a group of *assets* that could be realised in a liquidation sale, given a reasonable period of time to find a purchaser (or purchasers), with the seller being compelled to sell on an as-is, where-is basis.
- 160.2. The reasonable period of time to find a purchaser (or purchasers) *may* vary by *asset* type and market conditions.

170. Premise of Value - Forced Sale

- 170.1. The term "forced sale" is often used in circumstances where a seller is under compulsion to sell and that, as a consequence, a proper marketing period is not possible and buyers *may* not be able to undertake adequate due diligence. The price that could be obtained in these circumstances will depend upon the nature of the pressure on the seller and the reasons why proper marketing cannot be undertaken. It *may* also reflect the consequences for the seller of failing to sell within the period available. Unless the nature of, and the reason for, the constraints on the seller are known, the price obtainable in a forced sale cannot be realistically estimated. The price that a seller will accept in a forced sale will reflect its particular circumstances, rather than those of the hypothetical willing seller in the Market Value definition. A "forced sale" is a description of the situation under which the exchange takes place, not a distinct basis of value.
- 170.2. If an indication of the price obtainable under forced sale circumstances is required, it will be necessary to clearly identify the reasons for the constraint on the seller, including the consequences of failing to sell in the specified period by setting out appropriate assumptions. If these circumstances do not exist at the valuation date, these *must* be clearly identified as special assumptions.
- 170.3. A forced sale typically reflects the most probable price that a specified property is likely to bring under all of the following conditions:
 - (a) consummation of a sale within a short time period.
 - (b) the asset is subjected to market conditions prevailing as of the date of valuation or assumed timescale within which the transaction is to be completed,
 - (c) both the buyer and the seller are acting prudently and knowledgeably,
 - (d) the seller is under compulsion to sell,
 - (e) the buyer is typically motivated,
 - (f) both parties are acting in what they consider their best interests,
 - (g) a normal marketing effort is not possible due to the brief exposure time, and
 - (h) payment will be made in cash.

- 170.4. Sales in an inactive or falling market are not automatically "forced sales" simply because a seller might hope for a better price if conditions improved. Unless the seller is compelled to sell by a deadline that prevents proper marketing, the seller will be a willing seller within the definition of Market Value (see paras 30.1-30.7).
- 170.5. While confirmed "forced sale" transactions would generally be excluded from consideration in a valuation where the basis of value is Market Value, it can be difficult to verify that an arm's length transaction in a market was a forced sale.

180. Entity-Specific Factors

- 180.1. For most bases of value, the factors that are specific to a particular buyer or seller and not available to *participants* generally are excluded from the inputs used in a market-based valuation. Examples of entity-specific factors that *may* not be available to *participants* include:
 - (a) additional value or reduction in value derived from the creation of a portfolio of similar assets,
 - (b) unique synergies between the *asset* and other *assets* owned by the entity,
 - (c) legal rights or restrictions applicable only to the entity,
 - (d) tax benefits or tax burdens unique to the entity, and
 - (e) an ability to exploit an asset that is unique to that entity.
- 180.2. Whether such factors are specific to the entity, or would be available to others in the market generally, is determined on a case-by-case basis. For example, an asset may not normally be transacted as a stand-alone item but as part of a group of assets. Any synergies with related assets would transfer to participants along with the transfer of the group and therefore are not entity specific.
- 180.3. If the objective of the basis of value used in a valuation is to determine the value to a specific owner (such as Investment Value/Worth discussed in paras 60.1 and 60.2), entity-specific factors are reflected in the valuation of the *asset*. Situations in which the value to a specific owner *may* be required include the following examples:
 - (a) supporting investment decisions, and
 - (b) reviewing the performance of an asset.

190. Synergies

- 190.1. "Synergies" refer to the benefits associated with combining assets.

 When synergies are present, the value of a group of assets and liabilities is greater than the sum of the values of the individual assets and liabilities on a stand-alone basis. Synergies typically relate to a reduction in costs, and/or an increase in revenue, and/or a reduction in risk.
- 190.2. Whether synergies *should* be considered in a valuation depends on the basis of value. For most bases of value, only those synergies available

- to other *participants* generally will be considered (see discussion of Entity-Specific Factors in paras 180.1-180.3).
- 190.3. An assessment of whether synergies are available to other *participants* may be based on the amount of the synergies rather than a specific way to achieve that synergy.

200. Assumptions and Special Assumptions

- 200.1. In addition to stating the basis of value, it is often necessary to make an assumption or multiple assumptions to clarify either the state of the asset in the hypothetical exchange or the circumstances under which the asset is assumed to be exchanged. Such assumptions can have a significant impact on value.
- 200.2. These types of assumptions generally fall into one of two categories:
 - (a) assumed facts that are consistent with, or could be consistent with, those existing at the date of valuation, and
 - (b) assumed facts that differ from those existing at the date of valuation.
- 200.3. Assumptions related to facts that are consistent with, or could be consistent with, those existing at the date of valuation may be the result of a limitation on the extent of the investigations or enquiries undertaken by the valuer. Examples of such assumptions include, without limitation:
 - (a) an assumption that a business is transferred as a complete operational entity,
 - (b) an assumption that *assets* employed in a business are transferred without the business, either individually or as a group,
 - (c) an assumption that an individually valued *asset* is transferred together with other complementary *assets*, and
 - (d) an assumption that a holding of shares is transferred either as a block or individually.
- 200.4. Where assumed facts differ from those existing at the date of valuation, it is referred to as a "special assumption". Special assumptions are often used to illustrate the effect of possible changes on the value of an asset. They are designated as "special" so as to highlight to a valuation user that the valuation conclusion is contingent upon a change in the current circumstances or that it reflects a view that would not be taken by participants generally on the valuation date. Examples of such assumptions include, without limitation:
 - (a) an assumption that a property is freehold with vacant possession,
 - (b) an assumption that a proposed building had actually been completed on the valuation date.
 - (c) an assumption that a specific contract was in existence on the valuation date which had not actually been completed, and
 - (d) an assumption that a financial instrument is valued using a yield curve that is different from that which would be used by a *participant*.

200.5. All assumptions and special assumptions *must* be reasonable under the circumstances, be supported by evidence, and be relevant having regard to the *purpose* for which the valuation is required.

210. Transaction Costs

210.1. Most bases of value represent the estimated exchange price of an asset without regard to the seller's costs of sale or the buyer's costs of purchase and without adjustment for any taxes payable by either party as a direct result of the transaction.

IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods

Contents	Paragraphs
Introduction	10
Market Approach	20
Market Approach Methods	30
Income Approach	40
Income Approach Methods	50
Cost Approach	60
Cost Approach Methods	70
Depreciation/Obsolescence	80

10. Introduction

- 10.1. Consideration must be given to the relevant and appropriate valuation approaches. The three approaches described and defined below are the main approaches used in valuation. They are all based on the economic principles of price equilibrium, anticipation of benefits or substitution. The principal valuation approaches are:
 - (a) market approach,
 - (b) income approach, and
 - (c) cost approach.
- 10.2. Each of these valuation approaches includes different, detailed methods of application.
- 10.3. The goal in selecting valuation approaches and methods for an asset is to find the most appropriate method under the particular circumstances. No one method is suitable in every possible situation. The selection process should consider, at a minimum:
 - (a) the appropriate basis(es) of value and premise(s) of value, determined by the terms and *purpose of the valuation* assignment,
 - (b) the respective strengths and weaknesses of the possible valuation approaches and methods.
 - (c) the appropriateness of each method in view of the nature of the asset, and the approaches or methods used by participants in the relevant market, and
 - (d) the availability of reliable information needed to apply the method(s).
- 10.4. Valuers are not required to use more than one method for the valuation of an asset, particularly when the valuer has a high degree of confidence in the accuracy and reliability of a single method, given the facts and circumstances of the valuation engagement. However, valuers should consider the use of multiple approaches and methods and more than one

valuation approach or method *should* be considered and *may* be used to arrive at an indication of value, particularly when there are insufficient factual or observable inputs for a single method to produce a reliable conclusion. Where more than one approach and method is used, or even multiple methods within a single approach, the conclusion of value based on those multiple approaches and/or methods *should* be reasonable and the process of analysing and reconciling the differing values into a single conclusion, without averaging, *should* be described by the *valuer* in the report.

- 10.5. While this standard includes discussion of certain methods within the Cost, Market and Income approaches, it does not provide a comprehensive list of all possible methods that may be appropriate. Some of the many methods not addressed in this standard include option pricing methods (OPMs), simulation/Monte Carlo methods and probability-weighted expected-return methods (PWERM). It is the valuer's responsibility to choose the appropriate method(s) for each valuation engagement. Compliance with IVS may require the valuer to use a method not defined or mentioned in the IVS.
- 10.6. When different approaches and/or methods result in widely divergent indications of value, a valuer should perform procedures to understand why the value indications differ, as it is generally not appropriate to simply weight two or more divergent indications of value. In such cases, valuers should reconsider the guidance in para 10.3 to determine whether one of the approaches/methods provides a better or more reliable indication of value.
- 10.7. Valuers should maximise the use of relevant observable market information in all three approaches. Regardless of the source of the inputs and assumptions used in a valuation, a valuer must perform appropriate analysis to evaluate those inputs and assumptions and their appropriateness for the valuation purpose.
- 10.8. Although no one approach or method is applicable in all circumstances, price information from an active market is generally considered to be the strongest evidence of value. Some bases of value may prohibit a valuer from making subjective adjustments to price information from an active market. Price information from an inactive market may still be good evidence of value, but subjective adjustments may be needed.

20. Market Approach

- 20.1. The market approach provides an indication of value by comparing the asset with identical or comparable (that is similar) assets for which price information is available.
- 20.2. The market approach *should* be applied and afforded *significant weight* under the following circumstances:
 - (a) the subject asset has recently been sold in a transaction appropriate for consideration under the basis of value.
 - (b) the subject asset or substantially similar assets are actively publicly traded, and/or
 - (c) there are frequent and/or recent observable transactions in substantially similar assets.

- 20.3. Although the above circumstances would indicate that the market approach should be applied and afforded significant weight, when the above criteria are not met, the following are additional circumstances where the market approach may be applied and afforded significant weight. When using the market approach under the following circumstances, a valuer should consider whether any other approaches can be applied and weighted to corroborate the value indication from the market approach:
 - (a) Transactions involving the subject asset or substantially similar assets are not recent enough considering the levels of volatility and activity in the market.
 - (b) The *asset* or substantially similar *assets* are publicly traded, but not actively.
 - (c) Information on market transactions is available, but the comparable assets have significant differences to the subject asset, potentially requiring subjective adjustments.
 - (d) Information on recent transactions is not reliable (ie, hearsay, missing information, synergistic purchaser, not arm's-length, distressed sale, etc).
 - (e) The critical element affecting the value of the asset is the price it would achieve in the market rather than the cost of reproduction or its income-producing ability.
- 20.4. The heterogeneous nature of many *assets* means that it is often not possible to find market evidence of transactions involving identical or similar *assets*. Even in circumstances where the market approach is not used, the use of market-based inputs *should* be maximised in the application of other approaches (eg, market-based valuation metrics such as effective yields and rates of return).
- 20.5. When comparable market information does not relate to the exact or substantially the same asset, the valuer must perform a comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the comparable assets and the subject asset. It will often be necessary to make adjustments based on this comparative analysis. Those adjustments must be reasonable and valuers must document the reasons for the adjustments and how they were quantified.
- 20.6. The market approach often uses market multiples derived from a set of comparables, each with different multiples. The selection of the appropriate multiple within the range requires judgement, considering qualitative and quantitative factors.

30. Market Approach Methods

Comparable Transactions Method

- 30.1. The comparable transactions method, also known as the guideline transactions method, utilises information on transactions involving assets that are the same or similar to the subject asset to arrive at an indication of value.
- 30.2. When the comparable transactions considered involve the subject *asset*, this method is sometimes referred to as the prior transactions method.

- 30.3. If few recent transactions have occurred, the *valuer may* consider the prices of identical or similar *assets* that are listed or offered for sale, provided the relevance of this information is clearly established, critically analysed and documented. This is sometimes referred to as the comparable listings method and *should* not be used as the sole indication of value but can be appropriate for consideration together with other methods. When considering listings or offers to buy or sell, the *weight* afforded to the listings/ offer price *should* consider the level of commitment inherent in the price and how long the listing/offer has been on the market. For example, an offer that represents a binding commitment to purchase or sell an *asset* at a given price *may* be given more *weight* than a quoted price without such a binding commitment.
- 30.4. The comparable transaction method can use a variety of different comparable evidence, also known as units of comparison, which form the basis of the comparison. For example, a few of the many common units of comparison used for real property interests include price per square foot (or per square metre), rent per square foot (or per square metre) and capitalisation rates. A few of the many common units of comparison used in business valuation include EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation) multiples, earnings multiples, revenue multiples and book value multiples. A few of the many common units of comparison used in financial instrument valuation include metrics such as yields and interest rate spreads. The units of comparison used by participants can differ between asset classes and across industries and geographies.
- 30.5. A subset of the comparable transactions method is matrix pricing, which is principally used to value some types of financial instruments, such as debt securities, without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities and their attributes (ie, yield).
- 30.6. The key steps in the comparable transactions method are:
 - (a) identify the units of comparison that are used by participants in the relevant market,
 - (b) identify the relevant comparable transactions and calculate the key valuation metrics for those transactions.
 - (c) perform a consistent comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the comparable assets and the subject asset.
 - (d) make necessary adjustments, if any, to the valuation metrics to reflect differences between the subject asset and the comparable assets (see para 30.12(d)),
 - (e) apply the adjusted valuation metrics to the subject asset, and
 - (f) if multiple valuation metrics were used, reconcile the indications of value.
- 30.7. A valuer should choose comparable transactions within the following context:
 - (a) evidence of several transactions is generally preferable to a single transaction or event.

- (b) evidence from transactions of very similar assets (ideally identical) provides a better indication of value than assets where the transaction prices require significant adjustments,
- (c) transactions that happen closer to the valuation date are more representative of the market at that date than older/dated transactions, particularly in volatile markets,
- (d) for most bases of value, the transactions should be "arm's length" between unrelated parties.
- (e) sufficient information on the transaction should be available to allow the valuer to develop a reasonable understanding of the comparable asset and assess the valuation metrics/comparable evidence,
- (f) information on the comparable transactions should be from a reliable and trusted source, and
- (g) actual transactions provide better valuation evidence than intended transactions.
- 30.8. A valuer should analyse and make adjustments for any material differences between the comparable transactions and the subject asset. Examples of common differences that could warrant adjustments may include, but are not limited to:
 - (a) material characteristics (age, size, specifications, etc),
 - (b) relevant restrictions on either the subject asset or the comparable assets,
 - (c) geographical location (location of the asset and/or location of where the asset is likely to be transacted/used) and the related economic and regulatory environments,
 - (d) profitability or profit-making capability of the assets,
 - (e) historical and expected growth,
 - (f) yields/coupon rates,
 - (g) types of collateral,
 - (h) unusual terms in the comparable transactions,
 - differences related to marketability and control characteristics of the comparable and the subject asset, and
 - (j) ownership characteristics (eg, legal form of ownership, amount percentage held).

Guideline publicly-traded comparable method

30.9. The guideline publicly-traded method utilises information on publicly-traded comparables that are the same or similar to the subject *asset* to arrive at an indication of value.

- 30.10. This method is similar to the comparable transactions method. However, there are several differences due to the comparables being publicly traded, as follows:
 - (a) the valuation metrics/comparable evidence are available as of the valuation date,
 - (b) detailed information on the comparables are readily available in public filings, and
 - (c) the information contained in public filings is prepared under well understood accounting standards.
- 30.11. The method should be used only when the subject asset is sufficiently similar to the publicly-traded comparables to allow for meaningful comparison.
- 30.12. The key steps in the guideline publicly-traded comparable method are to:
 - (a) identify the valuation metrics/comparable evidence that are used by *participants* in the relevant market,
 - (b) identify the relevant guideline publicly-traded comparables and calculate the key valuation metrics for those transactions,
 - (c) perform a consistent comparative analysis of qualitative and quantitative similarities and differences between the publicly-traded comparables and the subject asset,
 - (d) make necessary adjustments, if any, to the valuation metrics to reflect differences between the subject asset and the publicly-traded comparables,
 - (e) apply the adjusted valuation metrics to the subject asset, and
 - (f) if multiple valuation metrics were used, weight the indications of value.
- 30.13. A *valuer should* choose publicly-traded comparables within the following context:
 - (a) consideration of multiple publicly-traded comparables is preferred to the use of a single comparable,
 - (b) evidence from similar publicly-traded comparables (for example, with similar market segment, geographic area, size in revenue and/or assets, growth rates, profit margins, leverage, liquidity and diversification) provides a better indication of value than comparables that require significant adjustments, and
 - (c) securities that are actively traded provide more meaningful evidence than thinly-traded securities.
- 30.14. A valuer should analyse and make adjustments for any material differences between the guideline publicly-traded comparables and the subject asset. Examples of common differences that could warrant adjustments may include, but are not limited to:

- (a) material characteristics (age, size, specifications, etc),
- (b) relevant discounts and premiums (see para 30.17).
- (c) relevant restrictions on either the subject asset or the comparable assets,
- (d) geographical location of the underlying company and the related economic and regulatory environments,
- (e) profitability or profit-making capability of the assets,
- (f) historical and expected growth,
- (g) differences related to marketability and control characteristics of the comparable and the subject asset, and
- (h) type of ownership.

Other Market Approach Considerations

- 30.15. The following paragraphs address a non-exhaustive list of certain special considerations that *may* form part of a market approach valuation.
- 30.16. Anecdotal or "rule-of-thumb" valuation benchmarks are sometimes considered to be a market approach. However, value indications derived from the use of such rules *should* not be given substantial *weight* unless it can be shown that buyers and sellers place *significant* reliance on them.
- 30.17. In the market approach, the fundamental basis for making adjustments is to adjust for differences between the subject asset and the guideline transactions or publicly-traded securities. Some of the most common adjustments made in the market approach are known as discounts and premiums.
 - (a) Discounts for Lack of Marketability (DLOM) should be applied when the comparables are deemed to have superior marketability to the subject asset. A DLOM reflects the concept that when comparing otherwise identical assets, a readily marketable asset would have a higher value than an asset with a long marketing period or restrictions on the ability to sell the asset. For example, publicly-traded securities can be bought and sold nearly instantaneously while shares in a private company may require a significant amount of time to identify potential buyers and complete a transaction. Many bases of value allow the consideration of restrictions on marketability that are inherent in the subject asset but prohibit consideration of marketability restrictions that are specific to a particular owner. DLOMs may be quantified using any reasonable method, but are typically calculated using option pricing models, studies that compare the value of publicly-traded shares and restricted shares in the same company, or studies that compare the value of shares in a company before and after an initial public offering.
 - (b) Control Premiums (sometimes referred to as Market Participant Acquisition Premiums or MPAPs) and Discounts for Lack of Control (DLOC) are applied to reflect differences between the comparables and the subject asset with regard to the ability to make decisions and the changes that can be made as a result of exercising control. All

else being equal, *participants* would generally prefer to have control over a subject *asset* than not. However, *participants*' willingness to pay a Control Premium or DLOC will generally be a factor of whether the ability to exercise control enhances the economic benefits available to the owner of the subject *asset*. Control Premiums and DLOCs *may* be quantified using any reasonable method, but are typically calculated based on either an analysis of the specific cash flow enhancements or reductions in risk associated with control or by comparing observed prices paid for controlling interests in publicly-traded securities to the publicly-traded price before such a transaction is announced. Examples of circumstances where Control Premiums and DLOC *should* be considered include where:

- shares of public companies generally do not have the ability to make decisions related to the operations of the company (they lack control). As such, when applying the guideline public comparable method to value a subject asset that reflects a controlling interest, a control premium may be appropriate, or
- the guideline transactions in the guideline transaction method often reflect transactions of controlling interests. When using that method to value a subject asset that reflects a minority interest, a DLOC may be appropriate.
- (c) Blockage discounts are sometimes applied when the subject asset represents a large block of shares in a publicly-traded security such that an owner would not be able to quickly sell the block in the public market without negatively influencing the publicly-traded price. Blockage discounts may be quantified using any reasonable method but typically a model is used that considers the length of time over which a participant could sell the subject shares without negatively impacting the publicly-traded price (ie, selling a relatively small portion of the security's typical daily trading volume each day). Under certain bases of value, particularly fair value for financial reporting purposes, blockage discounts are prohibited.

40. Income Approach

- 40.1. The income approach provides an indication of value by converting future cash flow to a single current value. Under the income approach, the value of an *asset* is determined by reference to the value of income, cash flow or cost savings generated by the *asset*.
- 40.2. The income approach *should* be applied and afforded *significant weight* under the following circumstances:
 - (a) the income-producing ability of the *asset* is the critical element affecting value from a *participant* perspective, and/or
 - (b) reasonable projections of the amount and timing of future income are available for the subject asset, but there are few, if any, relevant market comparables.

- 40.3. Although the above circumstances would indicate that the income approach *should* be applied and afforded *significant weight*, the following are additional circumstances where the income approach *may* be applied and afforded *significant weight*. When using the income approach under the following circumstances, a *valuer should* consider whether any other approaches can be applied and *weighted* to corroborate the value indication from the income approach:
 - (a) the income-producing ability of the subject *asset* is only one of several factors affecting value from a *participant* perspective,
 - (b) there is *significant* uncertainty regarding the amount and timing of future income-related to the subject *asset*.
 - (c) there is a lack of access to information related to the subject asset (for example, a minority owner may have access to historical financial statements but not forecasts/budgets), and/or
 - (d) the subject asset has not yet begun generating income, but is projected to do so.
- 40.4. A fundamental basis for the income approach is that investors expect to receive a return on their investments and that such a return *should* reflect the perceived level of risk in the investment.
- 40.5. Generally, investors can only expect to be compensated for systematic risk (also known as "market risk" or "undiversifiable risk").

50. Income Approach Methods

50.1. Although there are many ways to implement the income approach, methods under the income approach are effectively based on discounting future amounts of cash flow to present value. They are variations of the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) method and the concepts below apply in part or in full to all income approach methods.

Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Method

- 50.2. Under the DCF method the forecasted cash flow is discounted back to the valuation date, resulting in a present value of the *asset*.
- 50.3. In some circumstances for long-lived or indefinite-lived assets, DCF may include a terminal value which represents the value of the asset at the end of the explicit projection period. In other circumstances, the value of an asset may be calculated solely using a terminal value with no explicit projection period. This is sometimes referred to as an income capitalisation method.
- 50.4. The key steps in the DCF method are:
 - (a) choose the most appropriate type of cash flow for the nature of the subject *asset* and the assignment (ie, pre-tax or post-tax, total cash flows or cash flows to equity, real or nominal, etc),
 - (b) determine the most appropriate explicit period, if any, over which the cash flow will be forecast,
 - (c) prepare cash flow forecasts for that period,

- (d) determine whether a terminal value is appropriate for the subject asset at the end of the explicit forecast period (if any) and then determine the appropriate terminal value for the nature of the asset,
- (e) determine the appropriate discount rate, and
- (f) apply the discount rate to the forecasted future cash flow, including the terminal value, if any.

Type of Cash Flow

- 50.5. When selecting the appropriate type of cash flow for the nature of asset or assignment, valuers must consider the factors below. In addition, the discount rate and other inputs must be consistent with the type of cash flow chosen.
 - (a) Cash flow to whole asset or partial interest: Typically cash flow to the whole asset is used. However, occasionally other levels of income may be used as well, such as cash flow to equity (after payment of interest and principle on debt) or dividends (only the cash flow distributed to equity owners). Cash flow to the whole asset is most commonly used because an asset should theoretically have a single value that is independent of how it is financed or whether income is paid as dividends or reinvested.
 - (b) The cash flow can be pre-tax or post-tax: If a post-tax basis is used, the tax rate applied should be consistent with the basis of value and in many instances would be a participant tax rate rather than an owner-specific one.
 - (c) Nominal versus real: Real cash flow does not consider inflation whereas nominal cash flows include expectations regarding inflation. If expected cash flow incorporates an expected inflation rate, the discount rate has to include the same inflation rate.
 - (d) Currency: The choice of currency used *may* have an impact on assumptions related to inflation and risk. This is particularly true in emerging markets or in currencies with high inflation rates.
- 50.6. The type of cash flow chosen *should* be in accordance with *participant's* viewpoints. For example, cash flows and discount rates for real property are customarily developed on a pre-tax basis while cash flows and discount rates for businesses are normally developed on a post-tax basis. Adjusting between pre-tax and post-tax rates can be complex and prone to error and *should* be approached with caution.
- 50.7. When a valuation is being developed in a currency ("the valuation currency") that differs from the currency used in the cash flow projections ("the functional currency"), a *valuer should* use one of the following two currency translation methods:
 - (a) Discount the cash flows in the functional currency using a discount rate appropriate for that functional currency. Convert the present value of the cash flows to the valuation currency at the spot rate on the valuation date.

(b) Use a currency exchange forward curve to translate the functional currency projections into valuation currency projections and discount the projections using a discount rate appropriate for the valuation currency. When a reliable currency exchange forward curve is not available (for example, due to lack of liquidity in the relevant currency exchange markets), it *may* not be possible to use this method and only the method described in para 50.7(a) can be applied.

Explicit Forecast Period

- 50.8. The selection criteria will depend upon the *purpose of the valuation*, the nature of the *asset*, the information available and the required bases of value. For an *asset* with a short life, it is more likely to be both possible and relevant to project cash flow over its entire life.
- 50.9. *Valuers should* consider the following factors when selecting the explicit forecast period:
 - (a) the life of the asset,
 - (b) a reasonable period for which reliable data is available on which to base the projections,
 - (c) the minimum explicit forecast period which should be sufficient for an asset to achieve a stabilised level of growth and profits, after which a terminal value can be used.
 - (d) in the valuation of cyclical *assets*, the explicit forecast period *should* generally include an entire cycle, when possible, and
 - (e) for finite-lived *assets* such as most financial instruments, the cash flows will typically be forecast over the full life of the *asset*.
- 50.10. In some instances, particularly when the *asset* is operating at a stabilised level of growth and profits at the valuation date, it *may* not be necessary to consider an explicit forecast period and a terminal value *may* form the only basis for value (sometimes referred to as an income capitalisation method).
- 50.11. The intended holding period for one investor *should* not be the only consideration in selecting an explicit forecast period and *should* not impact the value of an *asset*. However, the period over which an *asset* is intended to be held *may* be considered in determining the explicit forecast period if the objective of the valuation is to determine its investment value.

Cash Flow Forecasts

- 50.12. Cash flow for the explicit forecast period is constructed using prospective financial information (PFI) (projected income/inflows and expenditure/outflows).
- 50.13. As required by para 50.12, regardless of the source of the PFI (eg, management forecast), a *valuer must* perform analysis to evaluate the PFI, the assumptions underlying the PFI and their appropriateness for the *valuation purpose*. The suitability of the PFI and the underlying assumptions will depend upon the *purpose of the valuation* and the required bases of value. For example, cash flow used to determine market value *should* reflect

- PFI that would be anticipated by *participants*; in contrast, investment value can be measured using cash flow that is based on the reasonable forecasts from the perspective of a particular investor.
- 50.14. The cash flow is divided into suitable periodic intervals (eg, weekly, monthly, quarterly or annually) with the choice of interval depending upon the nature of the *asset*, the pattern of the cash flow, the data available, and the length of the forecast period.
- 50.15. The projected cash flow *should* capture the amount and timing of all future cash inflows and outflows associated with the subject *asset* from the perspective appropriate to the basis of value.
- 50.16. Typically, the projected cash flow will reflect one of the following:
 - (a) contractual or promised cash flow,
 - (b) the single most likely set of cash flow,
 - (c) the probability-weighted expected cash flow, or
 - (d) multiple scenarios of possible future cash flow.
- 50.17. Different types of cash flow often reflect different levels of risk and *may* require different discount rates. For example, probability-*weighted* expected cash flows incorporate expectations regarding all possible outcomes and are not dependent on any particular conditions or events (note that when a probability-*weighted* expected cash flow is used, it is not always necessary for *valuers* to take into account distributions of all possible cash flows using complex models and techniques. Rather, *valuers may* develop a limited number of discrete scenarios and probabilities that capture the array of possible cash flows). A single most likely set of cash flows *may* be conditional on certain future events and therefore could reflect different risks and warrant a different discount rate.
- 50.18. While *valuers* often receive PFI that reflects accounting income and expenses, it is generally preferable to use cash flow that would be anticipated by *participants* as the basis for valuations. For example, accounting non-cash expenses, such as depreciation and amortisation, *should* be added back, and expected cash outflows relating to capital expenditures or to changes in working capital *should* be deducted in calculating cash flow.
- 50.19. *Valuers must* ensure that seasonality and cyclicality in the subject has been appropriately considered in the cash flow forecasts.

Terminal Value

- 50.20. Where the *asset* is expected to continue beyond the explicit forecast period, *valuers must* estimate the value of the *asset* at the end of that period. The terminal value is then discounted back to the valuation date, normally using the same discount rate as applied to the forecast cash flow.
- 50.21. The terminal value should consider:
 - (a) whether the *asset* is deteriorating/finite-lived in nature or indefinite-lived, as this will influence the method used to calculate a terminal value,

- (b) whether there is future growth potential for the asset beyond the explicit forecast period,
- (c) whether there is a pre-determined fixed capital amount expected to be received at the end of the explicit forecast period.
- (d) the expected risk level of the asset at the time the terminal value is calculated.
- (e) for cyclical assets, the terminal value should consider the cyclical nature of the asset and should not be performed in a way that assumes "peak" or "trough" levels of cash flows in perpetuity, and
- (f) the tax attributes inherent in the asset at the end of the explicit forecast period (if any) and whether those tax attributes would be expected to continue into perpetuity.
- 50.22. Valuers may apply any reasonable method for calculating a terminal value. While there are many different approaches to calculating a terminal value, the three most commonly used methods for calculating a terminal value are:
 - (a) Gordon growth model/constant growth model (appropriate only for indefinite-lived assets),
 - (b) market approach/exit value (appropriate for both deteriorating/finite-lived assets and indefinite-lived assets), and
 - (c) salvage value/disposal cost (appropriate only for deteriorating/ finite-lived assets).

Gordon Growth Model/Constant Growth Model

50.23. The constant growth model assumes that the *asset* grows (or declines) at a constant rate into perpetuity.

Market Approach/Exit Value

- 50.24. The market approach/exit value method can be performed in a number of ways, but the ultimate goal is to calculate the value of the *asset* at the end of the explicit cash flow forecast.
- 50.25. Common ways to calculate the terminal value under this method include application of a market-evidence based capitalisation factor or a market multiple.
- 50.26. When a market approach/exit value is used, *valuers should* comply with the requirements in the market approach and market approach methods section of this standard (sections 20 and 30). However, *valuers should* also consider the expected market conditions at the end of the explicit forecast period and make adjustments accordingly.

Salvage Value/Disposal Cost

50.27. The terminal value of some *assets may* have little or no relationship to the preceding cash flow. Examples of such *assets* include wasting *assets* such as a mine or an oil well.

50.28. In such cases, the terminal value is typically calculated as the salvage value of the *asset*, less costs to dispose of the *asset*. In circumstances where the costs exceed the salvage value, the terminal value is negative and referred to as a disposal cost or an *asset* retirement obligation.

Discount Rate

- 50.29. The rate at which the forecast cash flow is discounted *should* reflect not only the time value of money, but also the risks associated with the type of cash flow and the future operations of the *asset*.
- 50.30. Valuers may use any reasonable method for developing a discount rate. While there are many methods for developing or determining the reasonableness of a discount rate, a non-exhaustive list of common methods includes:
 - (a) the capital asset pricing model (CAPM),
 - (b) the weighted average cost of capital (WACC),
 - (c) the observed or inferred rates/yields,
 - (d) the internal rate of return (IRR),
 - (e) the weighted average return on assets (WARA), and
 - (f) the build-up method (generally used only in the absence of market inputs).
- 50.31. In developing a discount rate, a *valuer should* consider:
 - (a) the risk associated with the projections made in the cash flow used,
 - (b) the type of asset being valued. For example, discount rates used in valuing debt would be different to those used when valuing real property or a business,
 - (c) the rates implicit in transactions in the market,
 - (d) the geographic location of the *asset* and/or the location of the markets in which it would trade,
 - (e) the life/term of the asset and the consistency of inputs. For example, the risk-free rate considered would differ for an asset with a three-year life versus a 30-year life,
 - (f) the type of cash flow being used (see para 50.5), and
 - (g) the bases of value being applied. For most bases of value, the discount rate *should* be developed from the perspective of a *participant*.

60. Cost Approach

60.1. The cost approach provides an indication of value using the economic principle that a buyer will pay no more for an *asset* than the cost to obtain an *asset* of equal utility, whether by purchase or by construction, unless undue time, inconvenience, risk or other factors are involved. The approach

- provides an indication of value by calculating the current replacement or reproduction cost of an *asset* and making deductions for physical deterioration and all other relevant forms of obsolescence.
- 60.2. The cost approach *should* be applied and afforded *significant weight* under the following circumstances:
 - (a) participants would be able to recreate an asset with substantially the same utility as the subject asset, without regulatory or legal restrictions, and the asset could be recreated quickly enough that a participant would not be willing to pay a significant premium for the ability to use the subject asset immediately,
 - (b) the asset is not directly income-generating and the unique nature of the asset makes using an income approach or market approach unfeasible, and/or
 - (c) the basis of value being used is fundamentally based on replacement cost, such as replacement value.
- 60.3. Although the circumstances in para 60.2 would indicate that the cost approach *should* be applied and afforded *significant weight*, the following are additional circumstances where the cost approach *may* be applied and afforded *significant weight*. When using the cost approach under the following circumstances, a *valuer should* consider whether any other approaches can be applied and *weighted* to corroborate the value indication from the cost approach:
 - (a) participants might consider recreating an asset of similar utility, but there are potential legal or regulatory hurdles or significant time involved in recreating the asset.
 - (b) when the cost approach is being used as a reasonableness check to other approaches (for example, using the cost approach to confirm whether a business valued as a going-concern might be more valuable on a liquidation basis), and/or
 - (c) the asset was recently created, such that there is a high degree of reliability in the assumptions used in the cost approach.
- 60.4. The value of a partially completed *asset* will generally reflect the costs incurred to date in the creation of the *asset* (and whether those costs contributed to value) and the expectations of *participants* regarding the value of the property when complete, but consider the costs and time required to complete the *asset* and appropriate adjustments for profit and risk.

70. Cost Approach Methods

- 70.1. Broadly, there are three cost approach methods:
 - (a) replacement cost method: a method that indicates value by calculating the cost of a similar *asset* offering equivalent utility,
 - (b) reproduction cost method: a method under the cost that indicates value by calculating the cost to recreating a replica of an *asset*, and
 - (c) summation method: a method that calculates the value of an *asset* by the addition of the separate values of its component parts.

Replacement Cost Method

- 70.2. Generally, replacement cost is the cost that is relevant to determining the price that a *participant* would pay as it is based on replicating the utility of the *asset*, not the exact physical properties of the *asset*.
- 70.3. Usually replacement cost is adjusted for physical deterioration and all relevant forms of obsolescence. After such adjustments, this can be referred to as depreciated replacement cost.
- 70.4. The key steps in the replacement cost method are:
 - (a) calculate all of the costs that would be incurred by a typical *participant* seeking to create or obtain an *asset* providing equivalent utility,
 - (b) determine whether there is any deprecation related to physical, functional and external obsolescence associated with the subject *asset*, and
 - (c) deduct total deprecation from the total costs to arrive at a value for the subject asset.
- 70.5. The replacement cost is generally that of a modern equivalent *asset*, which is one that provides similar function and equivalent utility to the *asset* being valued, but which is of a current design and constructed or made using current cost-effective materials and techniques.

Reproduction Cost Method

- 70.6. Reproduction cost is appropriate in circumstances such as the following:
 - (a) the cost of a modern equivalent *asset* is greater than the cost of recreating a replica of the subject *asset*, or
 - (b) the utility offered by the subject *asset* could only be provided by a replica rather than a modern equivalent.
- 70.7. The key steps in the reproduction cost method are:
 - (a) calculate all of the costs that would be incurred by a typical *participant* seeking to create an exact replica of the subject *asset*,
 - (b) determine whether there is any deprecation related to physical, functional and external obsolescence associated with the subject *asset*, and
 - (c) deduct total deprecation from the total costs to arrive at a value for the subject asset.

Summation Method

- 70.8. The summation method, also referred to as the underlying *asset* method, is typically used for investment companies or other types of *assets* or entities for which value is primarily a factor of the values of their holdings.
- 70.9. The key steps in the summation method are:
 - (a) value each of the component *assets* that are part of the subject *asset* using the appropriate valuation approaches and methods, and

(b) add the value of the component *assets* together to reach the value of the subject *asset*.

Cost Considerations

- 70.10. The cost approach *should* capture all of the costs that would be incurred by a typical *participant*.
- 70.11. The cost elements *may* differ depending on the type of the *asset* and *should* include the direct and indirect costs that would be required to replace/ recreate the *asset* as of the valuation date. Some common items to consider include:
 - (a) direct costs:
 - 1. materials, and
 - 2. labour.
 - (b) indirect costs:
 - transport costs,
 - 2. installation costs,
 - 3. professional fees (design, permit, architectural, legal, etc),
 - 4. other fees (commissions, etc),
 - 5. overheads,
 - 6. taxes.
 - 7. finance costs (eg, interest on debt financing), and
 - 8. profit margin/entrepreneurial profit to the creator of the asset (eg, return to investors).
- 70.12. An asset acquired from a third party would presumably reflect their costs associated with creating the asset as well as some form of profit margin to provide a return on their investment. As such, under bases of value that assume a hypothetical transaction, it may be appropriate to include an assumed profit margin on certain costs which can be expressed as a target profit, either a lump sum or a percentage return on cost or value. However, financing costs, if included, may already reflect participants' required return on capital deployed, so valuers should be cautious when including both financing costs and profit margins.
- 70.13. When costs are derived from actual, quoted or estimated prices by third party suppliers or contractors, these costs will already include a third parties' desired level of profit.
- 70.14. The actual costs incurred in creating the subject asset (or a comparable reference asset) may be available and provide a relevant indicator of the cost of the asset. However, adjustments may need to be made to reflect the following:

- (a) cost fluctuations between the date on which this cost was incurred and the valuation date, and
- (b) any atypical or exceptional costs, or savings, that are reflected in the cost data but that would not arise in creating an equivalent.

80. Depreciation/Obsolescence

- 80.1. In the context of the cost approach, "depreciation" refers to adjustments made to the estimated cost of creating an *asset* of equal utility to reflect the impact on value of any obsolescence affecting the subject *asset*. This meaning is different from the use of the word in financial reporting or tax law where it generally refers to a method for systematically expensing capital expenditure over time.
- 80.2. Depreciation adjustments are normally considered for the following types of obsolescence, which *may* be further divided into subcategories when making adjustments:
 - (a) Physical obsolescence: Any loss of utility due to the physical deterioration of the asset or its components resulting from its age and usage.
 - (b) Functional obsolescence: Any loss of utility resulting from inefficiencies in the subject asset compared to its replacement such as its design, specification or technology being outdated.
 - (c) External or economic obsolescence: Any loss of utility caused by economic or locational factors external to the asset. This type of obsolescence can be temporary or permanent.
- 80.3. Depreciation/obsolescence *should* consider the physical and economic lives of the *asset*:
 - (a) The physical life is how long the *asset* could be used before it would be worn out or beyond economic repair, assuming routine maintenance but disregarding any potential for refurbishment or reconstruction.
 - (b) The economic life is how long it is anticipated that the asset could generate financial returns or provide a non-financial benefit in its current use. It will be influenced by the degree of functional or economic obsolescence to which the asset is exposed.
- 80.4. Except for some types of economic or external obsolescence, most types of obsolescence are measured by making comparisons between the subject asset and the hypothetical asset on which the estimated replacement or reproduction cost is based. However, when market evidence of the effect of obsolescence on value is available, that evidence should be considered.
- 80.5. Physical obsolescence can be measured in two different ways:
 - (a) curable physical obsolescence, ie, the cost to fix/cure the obsolescence, or

General Standards

- (b) incurable physical obsolescence which considers the *asset*'s age, expected total and remaining life where the adjustment for physical obsolescence is equivalent to the proportion of the expected total life consumed. Total expected life *may* be expressed in any reasonable way, including expected life in years, mileage, units produced, etc.
- 80.6. There are two forms of functional obsolescence:
 - (a) excess capital cost, which can be caused by changes in design, materials of construction, technology or manufacturing techniques resulting in the availability of modern equivalent assets with lower capital costs than the subject asset, and
 - (b) excess operating cost, which can be caused by improvements in design or excess capacity resulting in the availability of modern equivalent assets with lower operating costs than the subject asset.
- 80.7. Economic obsolescence *may* arise when external factors affect an individual *asset* or all the *assets* employed in a business and *should* be deducted after physical deterioration and functional obsolescence. For real estate, examples of economic obsolescence include:
 - (a) adverse changes to demand for the products or services produced by the asset.
 - (b) oversupply in the market for the asset,
 - (c) a disruption or loss of a supply of labour or raw material, or
 - (d) the *asset* being used by a business that cannot afford to pay a market rent for the *assets* and still generate a market rate of return.
- 80.8. Cash or cash equivalents do not suffer obsolescence and are not adjusted. Marketable *assets* are not adjusted below their market value determined using the market approach.

Asset Standards

IVS 200 Businesses and Business Interests

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
Introduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for Businesses and Business Interests	80
Ownership Rights	90
Business Information	100
Economic and Industry Considerations	110
Operating and Non-Operating Assets	120
Capital Structure Considerations	130

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of businesses and business interests. This standard contains additional requirements that apply to valuations of businesses and business interests.

20. Introduction

20.1. The definition of what constitutes a business may differ depending on the purpose of a valuation. However, generally a business conducts a commercial, industrial, service or investment activity. Businesses can take many forms, such as corporations, partnerships, joint ventures and sole proprietorships. The value of a business may differ from the sum of the values of the individual assets or liabilities that make up that business. When a business value is greater than the sum of the recorded and unrecorded net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of the business, the excess value is often referred to as going concern value or goodwill.

- 20.2. When valuing individual assets or liabilities owned by a business, valuers should follow the applicable standard for that type of asset or liability (IVS 210 Intangible Assets, IVS 400 Real Property Interests, etc).
- 20.3. Valuers must establish whether the valuation is of the entire entity, shares or a shareholding in the entity (whether a controlling or non-controlling interest), or a specific business activity of the entity. The type of value being provided must be appropriate to the purpose of the valuation and communicated as part of the scope of the engagement (see IVS 101 Scope of Work). It is especially critical to clearly define the business or business interest being valued as, even when a valuation is performed on an entire entity, there may be different levels at which that value could be expressed. For example:
 - (a) Enterprise value: Often described as the total value of the equity in a business plus the value of its debt or debt-related liabilities, minus any cash or cash equivalents available to meet those liabilities.
 - (b) Total invested capital value: The total amount of money currently invested in a business, regardless of the source, often reflected as the value of total assets less current liabilities and cash.
 - (c) Operating Value: The total value of the operations of the business, excluding the value of any non-operating *assets* and liabilities.
 - (d) Equity value: The value of a business to all of its equity shareholders.
- 20.4. Valuations of businesses are required for different purposes including acquisitions, mergers and sales of businesses, taxation, litigation, insolvency proceedings and financial reporting. Business valuations may also be needed as an input or step in other valuations such as the valuation of stock options, particular class(es) of stock, or debt.

30. Bases of Value

- 30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a *valuer must* select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing a business or business interest.
- 30.2. Often, business valuations are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 Bases of Value) and it is the *valuer's* responsibility to understand and follow the regulation, case law and/or other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of the valuation date.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

- 40.1. The three principal valuation approaches described in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods may* be applied to the valuation of businesses and business interests.
- 40.2. When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, a *valuer must* follow the requirements of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, including para 10.3.

50. Market Approach

50.1. The market approach is frequently applied in the valuation of businesses and business interests as these assets often meet the criteria in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 20.2 or 20.3. When valuing

- businesses and business interests under the Market Approach, *valuers* should follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, sections 20 and 30.
- 50.2. The three most common sources of data used to value businesses and business interests using the market approach are:
 - (a) public stock markets in which ownership interests of similar businesses are traded.
 - (b) the acquisition market in which entire businesses or controlling interests in businesses are bought and sold, and
 - (c) prior transactions in shares or offers for the ownership of the subject business.
- 50.3. There must be a reasonable basis for comparison with, and reliance upon, similar businesses in the market approach. These similar businesses should be in the same industry as the subject business or in an industry that responds to the same economic variables. Factors that should be considered in assessing whether a reasonable basis for comparison exists include:
 - (a) similarity to the subject business in terms of qualitative and quantitative business characteristics,
 - (b) amount and verifiability of data on the similar business, and
 - (c) whether the price of the similar business represents an arm's length and orderly transaction.
- 50.4. When applying a market multiple, adjustments such as those in para 60.8 *may* be appropriate to both the subject company and the comparable companies.
- 50.5. *Valuers should* follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 30.7 -30.8 when selecting and adjusting comparable transactions.
- 50.6. Valuers should follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 30.13 -30.14 when selecting and adjusting comparable public company information.

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. The income approach is frequently applied in the valuation of businesses and business interests as these *assets* often meet the criteria in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 40.2 or 40.3.
- When the income approach is applied, valuers should follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, sections 40 and 50.
- 60.3. Income and cash flow related to a business or business interest can be measured in a variety of ways and *may* be on a pre-tax or post-tax basis. The capitalisation or discount rate applied *must* be consistent with the type of income or cash flow used.

- 60.4. The type of income or cash flow used *should* be consistent with the type of interest being valued. For example:
 - (a) enterprise value is typically derived using cash flows before debt servicing costs and an appropriate discount rate applicable to enterprise-level cash flows, such as a *weighted*-average cost of capital, and
 - (b) equity value *may* be derived using cash flows to equity, that is, after debt servicing costs and an appropriate discount rate applicable to equity-level cash flows, such as a cost of equity.
- 60.5. The income approach requires the estimation of a capitalisation rate when capitalising income or cash flow and a discount rate when discounting cash flow. In estimating the appropriate rate, factors such as the level of interest rates, rates of return expected by *participants* for similar investments and the risk inherent in the anticipated benefit stream are considered (see IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 50.29-50.31).
- 60.6. In methods that employ discounting, expected growth *may* be explicitly considered in the forecasted income or cash flow. In capitalisation methods, expected growth is normally reflected in the capitalisation rate. If a forecasted cash flow is expressed in nominal terms, a discount rate that takes into account the expectation of future price changes due to inflation or deflation *should* be used. If a forecasted cash flow is expressed in real terms, a discount rate that takes no account of expected price changes due to inflation or deflation *should* be used.
- 60.7. Under the income approach, the historical financial statements of a business entity are often used as guide to estimate the future income or cash flow of the business. Determining the historical trends over time through ratio analysis *may* help provide the necessary information to assess the risks inherent in the business operations in the context of the industry and the prospects for future performance.
- 60.8. Adjustments *may* be appropriate to reflect differences between the actual historic cash flows and those that would be experienced by a buyer of the business interest on the valuation date. Examples include:
 - (a) adjusting revenues and expenses to levels that are reasonably representative of expected continuing operations,
 - (b) presenting financial data of the subject business and comparison businesses on a consistent basis,
 - (c) adjusting non-arm's length transactions (such as contracts with customers or suppliers) to market rates,
 - (d) adjusting the cost of labour or of items leased or otherwise contracted from related parties to reflect market prices or rates,
 - (e) reflecting the impact of non-recurring events from historic revenue and expense items. Examples of non-recurring events include losses caused by strikes, new plant start-up and weather phenomena. However, the forecast cash flows should reflect any non-recurring revenues or expenses that can be reasonably anticipated and past occurrences may be indicative of similar events in the future, and

- (f) adjusting the inventory accounting to compare with similar businesses, whose accounts may be kept on a different basis from the subject business, or to more accurately reflect economic reality.
- 60.9. When using an income approach it *may* also be necessary to make adjustments to the valuation to reflect matters that are not captured in either the cash flow forecasts or the discount rate adopted. Examples *may* include adjustments for the marketability of the interest being valued or whether the interest being valued is a controlling or non-controlling interest in the business. However, *valuers should* ensure that adjustments to the valuation do not reflect factors that were already reflected in the cash flows or discount rate. For example, whether the interest being valued is a controlling or non-controlling interest is often already reflected in the forecasted cash flows.
- 60.10. While many businesses *may* be valued using a single cash flow scenario, valuers may also apply multi-scenario or simulation models, particularly when there is *significant* uncertainty as to the amount and/or timing of future cash flows.

70. Cost Approach

- 70.1. The cost approach cannot normally be applied in the valuation of businesses and business interests as these *assets* seldom meet the criteria in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 70.2 or 70.3. However, the cost approach is sometimes applied in the valuation of businesses, particularly when:
 - (a) the business is an early stage or start-up business where profits and/ or cash flow cannot be reliably determined and comparisons with other businesses under the market approach is impractical or unreliable,
 - (b) the business is an investment or holding business, in which case the summation method is as described in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 70.8-70.9, and/or
 - (c) the business does not represent a going concern and/or the value of its *assets* in a liquidation *may* exceed the business' value as a going concern.
- 70.2. In the circumstances where a business or business interest is valued using a cost approach, *valuers should* follow the requirements of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, sections 70 and 80.

80. Special Considerations for Businesses and Business Interests

- 80.1. The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of businesses and business interests:
 - (a) Ownership Rights (section 90).
 - (b) Business Information (section 100).
 - (c) Economic and Industry Considerations (section 110).
 - (d) Operating and Non-Operating Assets (section 120).
 - (e) Capital Structure Considerations (section 130).

90. Ownership Rights

- 90.1. The rights, privileges or conditions that attach to the ownership interest, whether held in proprietorship, corporate or partnership form, require consideration in the valuation process. Ownership rights are usually defined within a *jurisdiction* by legal documents such as articles of association, clauses in the memorandum of the business, articles of incorporation, bylaws, partnership agreements and shareholder agreements (collectively "corporate documents"). In some situations, it *may* also be necessary to distinguish between legal and beneficial ownership.
- 90.2. Corporate documents may contain restrictions on the transfer of the interest or other provisions relevant to value. For example, corporate documents may stipulate that the interest should be valued as a pro rata fraction of the entire issued share capital regardless of whether it is a controlling or non-controlling interest. In each case, the rights of the interest being valued and the rights attaching to any other class of interest need to be considered at the outset.
- 90.3. Care *should* be taken to distinguish between rights and obligations inherent to the interest and those that *may* be applicable only to a particular shareholder (ie, those contained in an agreement between current shareholders which *may* not apply to a potential buyer of the ownership interest). Depending on the basis(es) of value used, the *valuer may* be required to consider only the rights and obligations inherent to the subject interest or both those rights and considerations inherent to the subject interest and those that apply to a particular owner.
- 90.4. All the rights and preferences associated with a subject business or business interest *should* be considered in a valuation, including:
 - (a) if there are multiple classes of stock, the valuation *should* consider the rights of each different class, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. liquidation preferences,
 - 2. voting rights,
 - 3. redemption, conversion and participation provisions, and
 - 4. put and/or call rights.
 - (b) When a controlling interest in a business may have a higher value than a non-controlling interest. Control premiums or discounts for lack of control may be appropriate depending on the valuation method(s) applied (see IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 30.17.(b)). In respect of actual premiums paid in completed transactions, the valuer should consider whether the synergies and other factors that caused the acquirer to pay those premiums are applicable to the subject asset to a comparable degree.

100. Business Information

100.1. The valuation of a business entity or interest frequently requires reliance upon information received from management, representatives of the management or other experts. As required by IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 10.7, a valuer must assess the reasonableness of information received from management, representatives of management or other experts and evaluate whether it is appropriate to rely on that information for the *valuation purpose*. For example, prospective financial information provided by management *may* reflect owner-specific synergies that *may* not be appropriate when using a basis of value that requires a *participant* perspective.

100.2. Although the value on a given date reflects the anticipated benefits of future ownership, the history of a business is useful in that it may give guidance as to the expectations for the future. Valuers should therefore consider the business' historical financial statements as part of a valuation engagement. To the extent the future performance of the business is expected to deviate significantly from historical experience, a valuer must understand why historical performance is not representative of the future expectations of the business.

110. Economic and Industry Considerations

- 110.1. Awareness of relevant economic developments and specific industry trends is essential for all valuations. Matters such as political outlook, government policy, exchange rates, inflation, interest rates and market activity *may* affect *assets* in different locations and/or sectors of the economy quite differently. These factors can be particularly important in the valuation of businesses and business interests, as businesses *may* have complex structures involving multiple locations and types of operations. For example, a business *may* be impacted by economic and industry factors specific related to:
 - (a) the registered location of the business headquarters and legal form of the business.
 - (b) the nature of the business operations and where each aspect of the business is conducted (ie, manufacturing *may* be done in a different location to where research and development is conducted).
 - (c) where the business sells its goods and/or services,
 - (d) the currency(ies) the business uses,
 - (e) where the suppliers of the business are located, and
 - (f) what tax and legal jurisdictions the business is subject to.

120. Operating and Non-Operating Assets

- 120.1. The valuation of an ownership interest in a business is only relevant in the context of the financial position of the business at a point in time. It is important to understand the nature of *assets* and liabilities of the business and to determine which items are required for use in the income-producing operations of the business and which ones are redundant or "excess" to the business at the valuation date.
- 120.2. Most valuation methods do not capture the value of assets that are not required for the operation of the business. For example, a business valued using a multiple of EBITDA would only capture the value the assets utilised in generating that level of EBITDA. If the business had non-operating assets or liabilities such as an idle manufacturing plant, the value of that

- non-operating plant would not be captured in the value. Depending on the level of value appropriate for the valuation engagement (see para 20.3), the value of non-operating *assets may* need to be separately determined and added to the operating value of the business.
- 120.3. Businesses may have unrecorded assets and/or liabilities that are not reflected on the balance sheet. Such assets could include intangible assets, machinery and equipment that is fully depreciated and legal liabilities/lawsuits.
- 120.4. When separately considering non-operating assets and liabilities, a valuer should ensure that the income and expenses associated with non-operating assets are excluded from the cash flow measurements and projections used in the valuation. For example, if a business has a significant liability associated with an underfunded pension and that liability is valued separately, the cash flows used in the valuation of the business should exclude any "catch-up" payments related to that liability.
- 120.5. If the valuation considers information from publicly-traded businesses, the publicly-traded stock prices implicitly include the value of non-operating assets, if any. As such, valuers must consider adjusting information from publicly-traded businesses to exclude the value, income and expenses associated with non-operating assets.

130. Capital Structure Considerations

- 130.1. Businesses are often financed through a combination of debt and equity. However, in many cases, *valuers may* be asked to value only equity or a particular class of equity in a business. While equity or a particular class of equity can occasionally be valued directly, more often the enterprise value of the business is determined and then that value is allocated between debt and any types of equity.
- 130.2. When the value of debt is equal to its carrying value/book value, allocations of value *may* be straightforward. For example, in such cases it *may* be appropriate to deduct the book value of debt from enterprise value to calculate equity value (sometimes referred to as a "waterfall" method of value allocation). However, *valuers should* not necessarily assume that the value of debt and its book value are equal.
- 130.3. In circumstances where the value of debt *may* differ from its book value, *valuers should* either value the debt directly or use a method that appropriately allocates value to debt and any equity securities such as a probability-*weighted* expected return method or an option-pricing model.

IVS 210 Intangible Assets

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
Introduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for Intangible Assets	80
Discount Rates/Rates of Return for Intangible Assets	90
Intangible Asset Economic Lives	100
Tax Amortisation Benefit (TAB)	110

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of intangible assets and valuations with an intangible assets component. This standard contains additional requirements that apply to valuations of intangible assets.

20. Introduction

- 20.1. An intangible *asset* is a non-monetary *asset* that manifests itself by its economic properties. It does not have physical substance but grants rights and/or economic benefits to its owner.
- 20.2. Specific intangible *assets* are defined and described by characteristics such as their ownership, function, market position and image. These characteristics differentiate intangible *assets* from one another.
- 20.3. There are many types of intangible *assets*, but they are often considered to fall into one or more of the following categories (or goodwill):
 - (a) Marketing-related: Marketing-related intangible assets are used primarily in the marketing or promotion of products or services. Examples include trademarks, trade names, unique trade design and internet domain names.
 - (b) Customer-related: Customer-related intangible assets include customer lists, backlog, customer contracts, and contractual and non-contractual customer relationships.
 - (c) Artistic-related: Artistic-related intangible assets arise from the right to benefits from artistic works such as plays, books, films and music, and from non-contractual copyright protection.

- (d) Contract-related: Contract-related intangible assets represent the value of rights that arise from contractual agreements. Examples include licensing and royalty agreements, service or supply contracts, lease agreements, permits, broadcast rights, servicing contracts, employment contracts and non-competition agreements and natural resource rights.
- (e) Technology-based: Technology-related intangible assets arise from contractual or non-contractual rights to use patented technology, unpatented technology, databases, formulae, designs, software, processes or recipes.
- 20.4. Although similar intangible *assets* within the same class will share some characteristics with one another, they will also have differentiating characteristics that will vary according to the type of intangible *asset*. In addition, certain intangible *assets*, such as brands, *may* represent a combination of categories in para 20.3,
- 20.5. Particularly in valuing an intangible asset, valuers must understand specifically what needs to be valued and the purpose of the valuation. For example, customer data (names, addresses, etc) typically has a very different value from customer contracts (those contracts in place on the valuation date) and customer relationships (the value of the ongoing customer relationship including existing and future contracts). What intangible assets need to be valued and how those intangible assets are defined may differ depending on the purpose of the valuation, and the differences in how intangible assets are defined can lead to significant differences in value.
- 20.6. Generally, goodwill is any future economic benefit arising from a business, an interest in a business or from the use of a group of assets which has not been separately recognised in another asset. The value of goodwill is typically measured as the residual amount remaining after the values of all identifiable tangible, intangible and monetary assets, adjusted for actual or potential liabilities, have been deducted from the value of a business. It is often represented as the excess of the price paid in a real or hypothetical acquisition of a company over the value of the company's other identified assets and liabilities. For some purposes, goodwill may need to be further divided into transferable goodwill (that which can be transferred to third parties) and non-transferable or "personal" goodwill.
- 20.7. As the amount of goodwill is dependent on which other tangible and intangible assets are recognised, its value can be different when calculated for different purposes. For example, in a business combination accounted for under IFRS or US GAAP, an intangible asset is only recognised to the extent that it:
 - (a) is separable, ie, capable of being separated or divided from the entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, identifiable asset or liability, regardless of whether the entity intends to do so, or
 - (b) arises from contractual or other legal rights, regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the entity or from other rights and obligations.

- 20.8. While the aspects of goodwill can vary depending on the *purpose of the valuation*, goodwill frequently includes elements such as:
 - (a) company-specific synergies arising from a combination of two or more businesses (eg, reductions in operating costs, economies of scale or product mix dynamics),
 - (b) opportunities to expand the business into new and different markets,
 - (c) the benefit of an assembled workforce (but generally not any intellectual property developed by members of that workforce),
 - (d) the benefit to be derived from future *assets*, such as new customers and future technologies, and
 - (e) assemblage and going concern value.
- 20.9. Valuers may perform direct valuations of intangible assets where the value of the intangible assets is the purpose of the analysis or one part of the analysis. However, when valuing businesses, business interests, real property, and machinery and equipment, valuers should consider whether there are intangible assets associated with those assets and whether those directly or indirectly impact the asset being valued. For example, when valuing a hotel based on an income approach, the contribution to value of the hotel's brand may already be reflected in the profit generated by the hotel.
- 20.10. Intangible asset valuations are performed for a variety of purposes. It is the valuer's responsibility to understand the purpose of a valuation and whether intangible assets should be valued, whether separately or grouped with other assets. A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances that commonly include an intangible asset valuation component is provided below:
 - (a) For financial reporting purposes, valuations of intangible assets are often required in connection with accounting for business combinations, asset acquisitions and sales, and impairment analysis.
 - (b) For tax reporting purposes, intangible asset valuations are frequently needed for transfer pricing analyses, estate and gift tax planning and reporting, and ad valorem taxation analyses.
 - (c) Intangible assets may be the subject of litigation, requiring valuation analysis in circumstances such as shareholder disputes, damage calculations and marital dissolutions (divorce).
 - (d) Other statutory or legal events *may* require the valuation of intangible *assets* such as compulsory purchases/eminent domain proceedings.
 - (e) Valuers are often asked to value intangible assets as part of general consulting, collateral lending and transactional support engagements.

30. Bases of Value

30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a *valuer must* select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing intangible *assets*.

30.2. Often, intangible *asset* valuations are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 Bases of Value) and the *valuer must* understand and follow the regulation, case law, and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of the valuation date.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

- 40.1. The three valuation approaches described in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches can all be applied to the valuation of intangible *assets*.
- 40.2. When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, a *valuer must* follow the requirements of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches, including para 10.3.

50. Market Approach

- 50.1. Under the market approach, the value of an intangible *asset* is determined by reference to market activity (for example, transactions involving identical or similar *assets*).
- 50.2. Transactions involving intangible *assets* frequently also include other *assets*, such as a business combination that includes intangible *assets*.
- 50.3. Valuers must comply with paras 20.2 and 20.3 of IVS 105 when determining whether to apply the market approach to the valuation of intangible assets. In addition, valuers should only apply the market approach to value intangible assets if both of the following criteria are met:
 - (a) information is available on arm's length transactions involving identical or similar intangible *assets* on or near the valuation date, and
 - (b) sufficient information is available to allow the valuer to adjust for all significant differences between the subject intangible asset and those involved in the transactions.
- 50.4. The heterogeneous nature of intangible assets and the fact that intangible assets seldom transact separately from other assets means that it is rarely possible to find market evidence of transactions involving identical assets. If there is market evidence at all, it is usually in respect of assets that are similar, but not identical.
- 50.5. Where evidence of either prices or valuation multiples is available, *valuers should* make adjustments to these to reflect differences between the subject *asset* and those involved in the transactions. These adjustments are necessary to reflect the differentiating characteristics of the subject intangible *asset* and the *assets* involved in the transactions. Such adjustments *may* only be determinable at a qualitative, rather than quantitative, level. However, the need for *significant* qualitative adjustments *may* indicate that another approach would be more appropriate for the valuation
- 50.6. Consistent with the above, examples of intangible *assets* for which the market approach is sometimes used include:
 - (a) broadcast spectrum.

- (b) internet domain names, and
- (c) taxi medallions.
- 50.7. The guideline transactions method is generally the only market approach method that can be applied to intangible *assets*.
- 50.8. In rare circumstances, a security sufficiently similar to a subject intangible asset may be publicly traded, allowing the use of the guideline public company method. One example of such securities is contingent value rights (CVRs) that are tied to the performance of a particular product or technology.

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. Under the income approach, the value of an intangible *asset* is determined by reference to the present value of income, cash flows or cost savings attributable to the intangible *asset* over its economic life.
- 60.2. Valuers must comply with paras 40.2 and 40.3 of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the income approach to the valuation of intangible assets.
- 60.3. Income related to intangible *assets* is frequently included in the price paid for goods or a service. It *may* be challenging to separate the income related to the intangible *asset* from income related to other tangible and intangible *assets*. Many of the income approach methods are designed to separate the economic benefits associated with a subject intangible *asset*.
- 60.4. The income approach is the most common method applied to the valuation of intangible *assets* and is frequently used to value intangible *assets* including the following:
 - (a) technology,
 - (b) customer-related intangibles (eg, backlog, contracts, relationships),
 - (c) tradenames/trademarks/brands.
 - (d) operating licenses (eg, franchise agreements, gaming licenses, broadcast spectrum), and
 - (e) non-competition agreements.

Income Approach Methods

- 60.5. There are many income approach methods. The following methods are discussed in this standard in more detail:
 - (a) excess earnings method,
 - (b) relief-from-royalty method,
 - (c) premium profit method or with-and-without method,
 - (d) greenfield method, and
 - (e) distributor method.

Excess Earnings Method

- 60.6. The excess earnings method estimates the value of an intangible asset as the present value of the cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset after excluding the proportion of the cash flows that are attributable to other assets required to generate the cash flows ("contributory assets"). It is often used for valuations where there is a requirement for the acquirer to allocate the overall price paid for a business between tangible assets, identifiable intangible assets and goodwill.
- 60.7. Contributory assets are assets that are used in conjunction with the subject intangible asset in the realisation of prospective cash flows associated with the subject intangible asset. Assets that do not contribute to the prospective cash flows associated with the subject intangible asset are not contributory assets.
- 60.8. The excess earnings method can be applied using several periods of forecasted cash flows ("multi-period excess earnings method" or "MPEEM"), a single period of forecasted cash flows ("single-period excess earnings method") or by capitalising a single period of forecasted cash flows ("capitalised excess earnings method" or the "formula method").
- 60.9. The capitalised excess earnings method or formula method is generally only appropriate if the intangible *asset* is operating in a steady state with stable growth/decay rates, constant profit margins and consistent contributory *asset* levels/charges.
- 60.10. As most intangible assets have economic lives exceeding one period, frequently follow non-linear growth/decay patterns and may require different levels of contributory assets over time, the MPEEM is the most commonly used excess earnings method as it offers the most flexibility and allows valuers to explicitly forecast changes in such inputs.
- 60.11. Whether applied in a single-period, multi-period or capitalised manner, the key steps in applying an excess earnings method are to:
 - (a) forecast the amount and timing of future revenues driven by the subject intangible asset and related contributory assets,
 - (b) forecast the amount and timing of expenses that are required to generate the revenue from the subject intangible asset and related contributory assets,
 - (c) adjust the expenses to exclude those related to creation of new intangible assets that are not required to generate the forecasted revenue and expenses. Profit margins in the excess earnings method may be higher than profit margins for the overall business because the excess earnings method excludes investment in certain new intangible assets. For example:
 - research and development expenditures related to development of new technology would not be required when valuing only existing technology, and
 - 2. marketing expenses related to obtaining new customers would not be required when valuing existing customer-related intangible *assets*.

- (d) identify the contributory assets that are needed to achieve the forecasted revenue and expenses. Contributory assets often include working capital, fixed assets, assembled workforce and identified intangible assets other than the subject intangible asset.
- (e) determine the appropriate rate of return on each contributory asset based on an assessment of the risk associated with that asset. For example, low-risk assets like working capital will typically have a relatively lower required return. Contributory intangible assets and highly specialised machinery and equipment often require relatively higher rates of return,
- (f) in each forecast period, deduct the required returns on contributory assets from the forecast profit to arrive at the excess earnings attributable to only the subject intangible asset,
- (g) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value or capitalise the excess earnings, and
- (h) if appropriate for the *purpose of the valuation* (see paras 110.1-110.4), calculate and add the tax amortisation benefit (TAB) for the subject intangible *asset*.
- 60.12. Contributory asset charges (CACs) should be made for all the current and future tangible, intangible and financial assets that contribute to the generation of the cash flow, and if an asset for which a CAC is required is involved in more than one line of business, its CAC should be allocated to the different lines of business involved.
- 60.13. The determination of whether a CAC for elements of goodwill is appropriate should be based on an assessment of the relevant facts and circumstances of the situation, and the valuer should not mechanically apply CACs or alternative adjustments for elements of goodwill if the circumstances do not warrant such a charge. Assembled workforce, as it is quantifiable, is typically the only element of goodwill for which a CAC should be taken. Accordingly, valuers must ensure they have a strong basis for applying CACs for any elements of goodwill other than assembled workforce.
- 60.14. CACs are generally computed on an after-tax basis as a fair return on the value of the contributory *asset*, and in some cases a return of the contributory *asset* is also deducted. The appropriate return on a contributory *asset* is the investment return a typical *participant* would require on the *asset*. The return of a contributory *asset* is a recovery of the initial investment in the *asset*. There *should* be no difference in value regardless of whether CACs are computed on a pre-tax or after-tax basis.
- 60.15. If the contributory *asset* is not wasting in nature, like working capital, only a fair return on the *asset* is required.
- 60.16. For contributory intangible *assets* that were valued under a relief-from-royalty method, the CAC *should* be equal to the royalty (generally adjusted to an after-tax royalty rate).

60.17. The excess earnings method *should* be applied only to a single intangible *asset* for any given stream of revenue and income (generally the primary or most important intangible *asset*). For example, in valuing the intangible *assets* of a company utilising both technology and a tradename in delivering a product or service (ie, the revenue associated with the technology and the tradename is the same), the excess earnings method *should* only be used to value one of the intangible *assets* and an alternative method *should* be used for the other *asset*. However, if the company had multiple product lines, each using a different technology and each generating distinct revenue and profit, the excess earnings method *may* be applied in the valuation of the multiple different technologies.

Relief-from-Royalty Method

- 60.18. Under the relief-from-royalty method, the value of an intangible asset is determined by reference to the value of the hypothetical royalty payments that would be saved through owning the asset, as compared with licensing the intangible asset from a third party. Conceptually, the method may also be viewed as a discounted cash flow method applied to the cash flow that the owner of the intangible asset could receive through licensing the intangible asset to third parties.
- 60.19. The key steps in applying a relief-from-royalty method are to:
 - (a) develop projections associated with the intangible asset being valued for the life of the subject intangible asset. The most common metric projected is revenue, as most royalties are paid as a percentage of revenue. However, other metrics such as a per-unit royalty may be appropriate in certain valuations,
 - (b) develop a royalty rate for the subject intangible asset. Two methods can be used to derive a hypothetical royalty rate. The first is based on market royalty rates for comparable or similar transactions. A prerequisite for this method is the existence of comparable intangible assets that are licensed at arm's length on a regular basis. The second method is based on a split of profits that would hypothetically be paid in an arm's length transaction by a willing licensee to a willing licensor for the rights to use the subject intangible asset,
 - (c) apply the selected royalty rate to the projections to calculate the royalty payments avoided by owning the intangible *asset*,
 - (d) estimate any additional expenses for which a licensee of the subject asset would be responsible. This can include upfront payments required by some licensors. A royalty rate should be analysed to determine whether it assumes expenses (such as maintenance, marketing and advertising) are the responsibility of the licensor or the licensee. A royalty rate that is "gross" would consider all responsibilities and expenses associated with ownership of a licensed asset to reside with the licensor, while a royalty that is "net" would consider some or all responsibilities and expenses associated with the licensed asset to reside with the licensee. Depending on whether the royalty is "gross" or "net", the valuation should exclude or include, respectively, a deduction for expenses such as maintenance, marketing or advertising expenses related to the hypothetically licensed asset.

- (e) if the hypothetical costs and royalty payments would be tax deductible, it may be appropriate to apply the appropriate tax rate to determine the after-tax savings associated with ownership of the intangible asset. However, for certain purposes (such as transfer pricing), the effects of taxes are generally not considered in the valuation and this step should be skipped,
- (f) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value or capitalise the savings associated with ownership of the intangible asset, and
- (g) if appropriate for the *purpose of the valuation* (see paras 110.1-110.4), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible *asset*.
- 60.20. Whether a royalty rate is based on market transactions or a profit split method (or both), its selection *should* consider the characteristics of the subject intangible *asset* and the environment in which it is utilised. The consideration of those characteristics form the basis for selection of a royalty rate within a range of observed transactions and/or the range of profit available to the subject intangible *asset* in a profit split. Factors that *should* be considered include the following:
 - (a) Competitive environment: The size of the market for the intangible asset, the availability of realistic alternatives, the number of competitors, barriers to entry and presence (or absence) of switching costs.
 - (b) Importance of the subject intangible to the owner: Whether the subject asset is a key factor of differentiation from competitors, the importance it plays in the owner's marketing strategy, its relative importance compared with other tangible and intangible assets, and the amount the owner spends on creation, upkeep and improvement of the subject asset.
 - (c) Life cycle of the subject intangible: The expected economic life of the subject asset and any risks of the subject intangible becoming obsolete.
- 60.21. When selecting a royalty rate, a valuer should also consider the following:
 - (a) When entering a licence arrangement, the royalty rate *participants* would be willing to pay depends on their profit levels and the relative contribution of the licensed intangible *asset* to that profit. For example, a manufacturer of consumer products would not license a tradename at a royalty rate that leads to the manufacturer realising a lower profit selling branded products compared with selling generic products.
 - (b) When considering observed royalty transactions, a valuer should understand the specific rights transferred to the licensee and any limitations. For example, royalty agreements may include significant restrictions on the use of a licensed intangible asset such as a restriction to a particular geographic area or for a product. In addition, the valuer should understand how the payments under the licensing agreement are structured, including whether there are upfront payments, milestone payments, puts/calls to acquire the licensed property outright, etc.

With-and-Without Method

- 60.22. The with-and-without method indicates the value of an intangible *asset* by comparing two scenarios: one in which the business uses the subject intangible *asset* and one in which the business does not use the subject intangible *asset* (but all other factors are kept constant).
- 60.23. The comparison of the two scenarios can be done in two ways:
 - (a) calculating the value of the business under each scenario with the difference in the business values being the value of the subject intangible asset, and
 - (b) calculating, for each future period, the difference between the profits in the two scenarios. The present value of those amounts is then used to reach the value of the subject intangible asset.
- 60.24. In theory, either method *should* reach a similar value for the intangible *asset* provided the *valuer* considers not only the impact on the entity's profit, but additional factors such as differences between the two scenarios in working capital needs and capital expenditures.
- 60.25. The with-and-without method is frequently used in the valuation of non-competition agreements but *may* be appropriate in the valuation of other intangible *assets* in certain circumstances.
- 60.26. The key steps in applying the with-and-without method are to:
 - (a) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business assuming the use of all of the assets of the business including the subject intangible asset. These are the cash flows in the "with" scenario,
 - (b) use an appropriate discount rate to present value the future cash flows in the "with" scenario, and/or calculate the value of the business in the "with" scenario.
 - (c) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business assuming the use of all of the assets of the business except the subject intangible asset. These are the cash flows in the "without" scenario.
 - (d) use an appropriate discount rate for the business, present value the future cash flows in the "with" scenario and/or calculate the value of the business in the "with" scenario.
 - (e) deduct the present value of cash flows or the value of the business in the "without" scenario from the present value of cash flows or value of the business in the "with" scenario, and
 - (f) if appropriate for the *purpose of the valuation* (see paras 110.1-110.4), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible *asset*.
- 60.27. As an additional step, the difference between the two scenarios *may* need to be probability-*weighted*. For example, when valuing a non-competition agreement, the individual or business subject to the agreement *may* choose not to compete, even if the agreement were not in place.

60.28. The differences in value between the two scenarios *should* be reflected solely in the cash flow projections rather than by using different discount rates in the two scenarios.

Greenfield Method

- 60.29. Under the greenfield method, the value of the subject intangible is determined using cash flow projections that assume the only *asset* of the business at the valuation date is the subject intangible. All other tangible and intangible *assets must* be bought, built or rented.
- 60.30. The greenfield method is conceptually similar to the excess earnings method. However, instead of subtracting contributory asset charges from the cash flow to reflect the contribution of contributory assets, the greenfield method assumes that the owner of the subject asset would have to build, buy or rent the contributory assets. When building or buying the contributory assets, the cost of a replacement asset of equivalent utility is used rather than a reproduction cost.
- 60.31. The greenfield method is often used to estimate the value of "enabling" intangible *assets* such as franchise agreements and broadcast spectrum.
- 60.32. The key steps in applying the greenfield method are to:
 - (a) prepare projections of revenue, expenses, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the business assuming the subject intangible asset is the only asset owned by the subject business at the valuation date, including the time period needed to "ramp up" to stabilised levels,
 - (b) estimate the timing and amount of expenditures related to the acquisition, creation or rental of all other assets needed to operate the subject business,
 - (c) using an appropriate discount rate for the business, present value the future cash flows to determine the value of the subject business with only the subject intangible in place, and
 - (d) if appropriate for the *purpose of the valuation* (see paras 110.1-110.4), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible *asset*.

Distributor Method

- 60.33. The distributor method, sometimes referred to as the disaggregated method, is a variation of the multi-period excess earnings method sometimes used to value customer-related intangible assets. The underlying theory of the distributor method is that businesses that are comprised of various functions are expected to generate profits associated with each function. As distributors generally only perform functions related to distribution of products to customers rather than development of intellectual property or manufacturing, information on profit margins earned by distributors is used to estimate the excess earnings attributable to customer-related intangible assets.
- 60.34. The distributor method is appropriate to value customer-related intangible assets when another intangible asset (for example, technology or a brand) is deemed to be the primary or most significant intangible asset and is valued under a multi-period excess earnings method.

- 60.35. The key steps in applying the distributor method are to:
 - (a) prepare projections of revenue associated with existing customer relationships. This should reflect expected growth in revenue from existing customers as well as the effects of customer attrition,
 - (b) identify comparable distributors that have customer relationships similar to the subject business and calculate the profit margins achieved by those distributors,
 - (c) apply the distributor profit margin to the projected revenue,
 - (d) identify the contributory assets related to performing a distribution function that are needed to achieve the forecast revenue and expenses. Generally distributor contributory assets include working capital, fixed assets and workforce. However, distributors seldom require other assets such as trademarks or technology. The level of required contributory assets should also be consistent with participants performing only a distribution function.
 - (e) determine the appropriate rate of return on each contributory asset based on an assessment of the risk associated with that asset.
 - (f) in each forecast period, deduct the required returns on contributory assets from the forecast distributor profit to arrive at the excess earnings attributable to only the subject intangible asset,
 - (g) determine the appropriate discount rate for the subject intangible asset and present value the excess earnings, and
 - (h) if appropriate for the purpose of the valuation (see paras 110.1-110.4), calculate and add the TAB for the subject intangible asset.

70. Cost Approach

- 70.1. Under the cost approach, the value of an intangible *asset* is determined based on the replacement cost of a similar *asset* or an *asset* providing similar service potential or utility.
- 70.2. Valuers must comply with paras 60.2 and 60.3 of IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods when determining whether to apply the cost approach to the valuation of intangible assets.
- 70.3. Consistent with these criteria, the cost approach is commonly used for intangible *assets* such as the following:
 - (a) acquired third-party software,
 - (b) internally-developed and internally-used, non-marketable software, and
 - (c) assembled workforce.
- 70.4. The cost approach *may* be used when no other approach is able to be applied; however, a *valuer should* attempt to identify an alternative method before applying the cost approach in situations where the subject *asset* does not meet the criteria in paras 60.2 and 60.3 of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*.

- 70.5. There are broadly two main methods that fall under the cost approach: replacement cost and reproduction cost. However, many intangible assets do not have physical form that can be reproduced and assets such as software, which can be reproduced, generally derive value from their function/utility rather than their exact lines of code. As such, the replacement cost is most commonly applied to the valuation of intangible assets.
- 70.6. The replacement cost method assumes that a participant would pay no more for the asset than the cost that would be incurred to replace the asset with a substitute of comparable utility or functionality.
- 70.7. Valuers should consider the following when applying the replacement cost method:
 - (a) the direct and indirect costs of replacing the utility of the *asset*, including labour, materials and overhead,
 - (b) whether the subject intangible asset is subject to obsolescence. While intangible assets do not become functionally or physically obsolete, they can be subject to economic obsolescence,
 - (c) whether it is appropriate to include a profit mark-up on the included costs. An asset acquired from a third party would presumably reflect their costs associated with creating the asset as well as some form of profit to provide a return on investment. As such, under bases of value (see IVS 104 Bases of Value) that assume a hypothetical transaction, it may be appropriate to include an assumed profit mark-up on costs. As noted in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, costs developed based on estimates from third parties would be presumed to already reflect a profit mark-up, and
 - (d) opportunity costs may also be included, which reflect costs associated with not having the subject intangible asset in place for some period of time during its creation.

80. Special Considerations for Intangible Assets

- 80.1. The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of intangible *assets*.
 - (a) Discount Rates/Rates of Return for Intangible Assets (section 90).
 - (b) Intangible Asset Economic Lives (section 100).
 - (c) Tax Amortisation Benefit (section 110).

90. Discount Rates/Rates of Return for Intangible Assets

- 90.1. Selecting discount rates for intangible *assets* can be challenging as observable market evidence of discount rates for intangible *assets* is rare. The selection of a discount rate for an intangible *asset* generally requires *significant* professional judgment.
- 90.2. In selecting a discount rate for an intangible *asset*, *valuers should* perform an assessment of the risks associated with the subject intangible *asset* and consider observable discount rate benchmarks.

- 90.3. When assessing the risks associated with an intangible asset, a valuer should consider factors including the following:
 - (a) intangible assets often have higher risk than tangible assets,
 - (b) if an intangible *asset* is highly specialised to its current use, it *may* have higher risk than *assets* with multiple potential uses,
 - (c) single intangible assets may have more risk than groups of assets (or businesses),
 - (d) intangible assets used in risky (sometimes referred to as non-routine) functions may have higher risk than intangible assets used in more lowrisk or routine activities. For example, intangible assets used in research and development activities may be higher risk than those used in delivering existing products or services,
 - (e) the life of the asset. Similar to other investments, intangible assets with longer lives are often considered to have higher risk, all else being equal,
 - (f) intangible assets with more readily estimable cash flow streams, such as backlog, may have lower risk than similar intangible assets with less estimable cash flows, such as customer relationships.
- 90.4. Discount rate benchmarks are rates that are observable based on market evidence or observed transactions. The following are some of the benchmark rates that a valuer should consider:
 - (a) risk-free rates with similar maturities to the life of the subject intangible *asset*,
 - (b) cost of debt or borrowing rates with maturities similar to the life of the subject intangible asset,
 - (c) cost of equity or equity rates or return for *participants* for the subject intangible *asset*,
 - (d) weighted average cost of capital (WACC) of participants for the subject intangible asset or of the company owning/using the subject intangible asset,
 - (e) in contexts involving a recent business acquisition including the subject intangible asset, the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for the transaction should be considered, and
 - (f) in contexts involving a valuation of all assets of a business, the valuer should perform a weighted average return on assets (WARA) analysis to confirm reasonableness of selected discount rates.

100. Intangible Asset Economic Lives

100.1. An important consideration in the valuation of an intangible asset, particularly under the income approach, is the economic life of the asset. This may be a finite period limited by legal, technological, functional or economic factors; other assets may have an indefinite life. The economic life of an intangible asset is a different concept than the remaining useful life for accounting or tax purposes.

- 100.2. Legal, technological, functional and economic factors must be considered individually and together in making an assessment of the economic life. For example, a pharmaceutical technology protected by a patent may have a remaining legal life of five years before expiry of the patent, but a competitor drug with improved efficacy may be expected to reach the market in three years. This might cause the economic life of the patent to be assessed as only three years. In contrast, the expected economic life of the technology could extend beyond the life of the patent if the knowhow associated with the technology would have value in production of a generic drug beyond the expiration of the patent.
- 100.3. In estimating the economic life of an intangible asset, a valuer should also consider the pattern of use or replacement. Certain intangible assets may be abruptly replaced when a new, better or cheaper alternative becomes available, while others may be replaced slowly over time, such as when a software developer releases a new version of software every year but only replaces a portion of the existing code with each new release.
- 100.4. For customer-related intangibles, attrition is a key factor in estimating an economic life as well as the cash flows used to value the customer-related intangibles. Attrition applied in the valuation of intangible assets is a quantification of expectations regarding future losses of customers. While it is a forward-looking estimate, attrition is often based on historical observations of attrition.
- 100.5. There are a number of ways to measure and apply historical attrition:
 - (a) a constant rate of loss (as a percentage of prior year balance) over the life of the customer relationships *may* be assumed if customer loss does not appear to be dependent on age of the customer relationship,
 - (b) a variable rate of loss may be used over the life of the customer relationships if customer loss is dependent on age of the customer relationship. In such circumstances, generally younger/new customers are lost at a higher rate than older, more established customer relationships,
 - (c) attrition may be measured based on either revenue or number of customers/customer count as appropriate, based on the characteristics of the customer group,
 - (d) customers may need to be segregated into different groups. For example, a company that sells products to distributors and retailers may experience different attrition rates for each group. Customers may also be segregated based on other factors such as geography, size of customer and type of product or service purchased, and
 - (e) the period used to measure attrition may vary depending on circumstances. For example, for a business with monthly subscribers, one month without revenue from a particular customer would indicate a loss of that customer. In contrast, for larger industrial products, a customer might not be considered "lost" unless there have been no sales to that customer for a year or more.

- 100.6. The application of any attrition factor should be consistent with the way attrition was measured. Correct application of attrition factor in first projection year (and therefore all subsequent years) must be consistent with form of measurement.
 - (a) If attrition is measured based on the number of customers at the beginning-of-period versus end-of-period (typically a year), the attrition factor should be applied using a "mid-period" convention for the first projection year (as it is usually assumed that customers were lost throughout the year). For example, if attrition is measured by looking at the number of customers at the beginning of the year (100) versus the number remaining at the end of the year (90), on average the company had 95 customers during that year, assuming they were lost evenly throughout the year. Although the attrition rate could be described as 10%, only half of that should be applied in the first year.
 - (b) If attrition is measured by analysing year-over-year revenue or customer count, the resulting attrition factor should generally be applied without a mid-period adjustment. For example, if attrition is measured by looking at the number of customers that generated revenue in Year 1 (100) versus the number of those same customers that had revenue in Year 2 (90), application would be different even though the attrition rate could again be described as 10%.
- 100.7. Revenue-based attrition may include growth in revenue from existing customers unless adjustments are made. It is generally a best practice to make adjustments to separate growth and attrition in measurement and application.
- 100.8. It is a best practice for *valuers* to input historical revenue into the model being used and check how closely it predicts actual revenue from existing customers in subsequent years. If attrition has been measured and applied appropriately, the model *should* be reasonably accurate. For example, if estimates of future attrition were developed based on historical attrition observed from 20X0 through 20X5, a *valuer should* input the 20X0 customer revenue into the model and check whether it accurately predicts the revenue achieved from existing customers in 20X1, 20X2, etc.

110. Tax Amortisation Benefit (TAB)

- 110.1. In many tax jurisdictions, intangible assets can be amortised for tax purposes, reducing a taxpayer's tax burden and effectively increasing cash flows. Depending on the purpose of a valuation and the valuation method used, it may be appropriate to include the value of TAB in the value of the intangible.
- 110.2. If the market or cost approach is used to value an intangible *asset*, the price paid to create or purchase the *asset* would already reflect the ability to amortise the *asset*. However, in the income approach, a TAB needs to be explicitly calculated and included, if appropriate.
- 110.3. For some *valuation purposes*, such as financial reporting, the appropriate basis of value assumes a hypothetical sale of the subject intangible *asset*. Generally, for those *purposes*, a TAB *should* be included when the income approach is used because a typical *participant* would be able to amortise

Asset Standards

an intangible asset acquired in such a hypothetical transaction. For other valuation purposes, the assumed transaction might be of a business or group of assets. For those bases of value, it may be appropriate to include a TAB only if the transaction would result in a step-up in basis for the intangible assets.

- 110.4. There is some diversity in practice related to the appropriate discount rate to be used in calculating a TAB. *Valuers may* use either of the following:
 - (a) a discount rate appropriate for a business utilising the subject asset, such as a weighted average cost of capital. Proponents of this view believe that, since amortisation can be used to offset the taxes on any income produced by the business, a discount rate appropriate for the business as a whole should be used, or
 - (b) a discount rate appropriate for the subject asset (ie, the one used in the valuation of the asset). Proponents of this view believe that the valuation should not assume the owner of the subject asset has operations and income separate from the subject asset and that the discount rate used in the TAB calculation should be the same as that used in the valuation of the subject asset.

IVS 300 Plant and Equipment

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
Introduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for Plant and Equipment	80
Financing Arrangements	90

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of plant and equipment. This standard only includes modifications, additional principles or specific examples of how the General Standards apply for valuations to which this standard applies.

20. Introduction

- 20.1. Items of plant and equipment (which may sometimes be categorised as a type of personal property) are tangible assets that are usually held by an entity for use in the manufacturing/production or supply of goods or services, for rental by others or for administrative purposes and that are expected to be used over a period of time.
- 20.2. For lease of machinery and equipment, the right to use an item of machinery and equipment (such as a right arising from a lease) would also follow the guidance of this standard. It must also be noted that the "right to use" an asset could have a different life span than the service life (that takes into consideration of both preventive and predictive maintenance) of the underlying machinery and equipment itself and, in such circumstances, the service life span must be stated.
- 20.3. Assets for which the highest and best use is "in use" as part of a group of assets must be valued using consistent assumptions. Unless the assets belonging to the sub-systems may reasonably be separated independently from its main system, then the sub-systems may be valued separately, having consistent assumptions within the sub-systems. This will also cascade down to sub-sub-systems and so on.
- 20.4. Intangible assets fall outside the classification of plant and equipment assets. However, an intangible asset may have an impact on the value of plant and equipment assets. For example, the value of patterns and dies is often inextricably linked to associated intellectual property rights. Operating software, technical data, production records and patents are further examples of intangible assets that can have an impact on the value of plant and equipment assets, depending on whether or not they are included in the

valuation. In such cases, the valuation process will involve consideration of the inclusion of intangible *assets* and their impact on the valuation of the plant and equipment *assets*. When there is an intangible *asset* component, the *valuer should* also follow IVS 210 Intangible *Assets*.

- 20.5. A valuation of plant and equipment will normally require consideration of a range of factors relating to the asset itself, its environment and physical, functional and economic potential. Therefore, all plant and equipment valuers should normally inspect the subject assets to ascertain the condition of the plant and also to determine if the information provided to them is usable and related to the subject assets being valued. Examples of factors that may need to be considered under each of these headings include the following:
 - (a) Asset-related:
 - 1. the asset's technical specification,
 - 2. the remaining useful, economic or effective life, considering both preventive and predictive maintenance,
 - 3. the asset's condition, including maintenance history,
 - 4. any functional, physical and technological obsolescence,
 - if the asset is not valued in its current location, the costs of decommissioning and removal, and any costs associated with the asset's existing in-place location, such as installation and re-commissioning of assets to its optimum status,
 - 6. for machinery and equipment that are used for rental *purposes*, the lease renewal options and other end-of-lease possibilities.
 - any potential loss of a complementary asset, eg, the operational life
 of a machine may be curtailed by the length of lease on the building
 in which it is located.
 - 8. additional costs associated with additional equipment, transport, installation and commissioning, etc, and
 - in cases where the historical costs are not available for the machinery and equipment that may reside within a plant during a construction, the valuer may take references from the Engineering, Procurement, Construction ("EPC") contract.

(b) Environment-related:

- the location in relation to the source of raw material and market for the product. The suitability of a location may also have a limited life, eg, where raw materials are finite or where demand is transitory,
- the impact of any environmental or other legislation that either restricts utilisation or imposes additional operating or decommissioning costs,
- radioactive substances that may be in certain machinery and equipment have a severe impact if not used or disposed of

appropriately. This will have a major impact on expense consideration and the environment.

- 4. toxic wastes which *may* be chemical in the form of a solid, liquid or gaseous state *must* be professionally stored or disposed of. This is critical for all industrial manufacturing, and
- licences to operate certain machines in certain countries may be restricted.

(c) Economic-related:

- the actual or potential profitability of the asset based on comparison of operating costs with earnings or potential earnings (see IVS 200 Business and Business Interests).
- 2. the demand for the product manufactured by the plant with regard to both macro- and micro-economic factors could impact on demand, and
- 3. the potential for the *asset* to be put to a more valuable use than the current use (ie, highest and best use).
- Valuations of plant and equipment should reflect the impact of all forms of obsolescence on value.
- 20.7. To comply with the requirement to identify the asset or liability to be valued in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3.(d) to the extent it impacts on value, consideration must be given to the degree to which the asset is attached to, or integrated with, other assets. For example:
 - (a) assets may be permanently attached to the land and could not be removed without substantial demolition of either the asset or any surrounding structure or building,
 - (b) an individual machine *may* be part of an integrated production line where its functionality is dependent upon other *assets*,
 - (c) an asset may be considered to be classified as a component of the real property (eg, a Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning System (HVAC)).

In such cases, it will be necessary to clearly define what is to be included or excluded from the valuation. Any special assumptions relating to the availability of any complementary *assets must* also be stated (see also para 20.8).

20.8. Plant and equipment connected with the supply or provision of services to a building are often integrated within the building and, once installed, are not separable from it. These items will normally form part of the real property interest. Examples include plant and equipment with the primary function of supplying electricity, gas, heating, cooling or ventilation to a building and equipment such as elevators. If the purpose of the valuation requires these items to be valued separately, the scope of work must include a statement to the effect that the value of these items would normally be included in the real property interest and may not be separately realisable. When different valuation assignments are undertaken to carry out valuations of the real

- property interest and plant and equipment assets at the same location, care is necessary to avoid either omissions or double counting.
- 20.9. Because of the diverse nature and transportability of many items of plant and equipment, additional assumptions will normally be required to describe the situation and circumstances in which the assets are valued. In order to comply with IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3.(k) these must be considered and included in the scope of work. Examples of assumptions that may be appropriate in different circumstances include:
 - (a) that the plant and equipment *assets* are valued as a whole, in place and as part of an operating business,
 - (b) that the plant and equipment *assets* are valued as a whole, in place but on the assumption that the business is not yet in production.
 - (c) that the plant and equipment *assets* are valued as a whole, in place but on the assumption that the business is closed,
 - (d) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as a whole, in place but on the assumption that it is a forced sale (See IVS 104 Bases of Value),
 - (e) that the plant and equipment assets are valued as individual items for removal from their current location.
- 20.10. In some circumstances, it *may* be appropriate to report on more than one set of assumptions, eg, in order to illustrate the effect of business closure or cessation of operations on the value of plant and equipment.
- 20.11. In addition to the minimum requirements in IVS 103 Reporting, a valuation report on plant and equipment *must* include appropriate references to matters addressed in the scope of work. The report *must* also include comment on the effect on the reported value of any associated tangible or intangible *assets* excluded from the actual or assumed transaction scenario, eg, operating software for a machine or a continued right to occupy the land on which the item is situated.
- 20.12. Valuations of plant and equipment are often required for different purposes including financial reporting, leasing, secured lending, disposal, taxation, litigation and insolvency proceedings.

30. Bases of Value

- 30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a *valuer must* select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing plant and equipment.
- 30.2. Using the appropriate basis(es) of value and associated premise of value (see IVS 104 Bases of Value, sections 140-170) is particularly crucial in the valuation of plant and equipment because differences in value can be pronounced, depending on whether an item of plant and equipment is valued under an "in use" premise, orderly liquidation or forced liquidation (see IVS 104 Bases of Value, para 80.1). The value of most plant and equipment is particularly sensitive to different premises of value.
- 30.3. An example of forced liquidation conditions is where the *assets* have to be removed from a property in a timeframe that precludes proper marketing

because a lease of the property is being terminated. The impact of such circumstances on value needs careful consideration. In order to advise on the value likely to be realised, it will be necessary to consider any alternatives to a sale from the current location, such as the practicality and cost of removing the items to another location for disposal within the available time limit and any diminution in value due to moving the item from its working location.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

40.1. The three principal valuation approaches described in the IVS *may* all be applied to the valuation of plant and equipment *assets* depending on the nature of the *assets*, the information available, and the facts and circumstances surrounding the valuation.

50. Market Approach

50.1. For classes of plant and equipment that are homogenous, eg, motor vehicles and certain types of office equipment or industrial machinery, the market approach is commonly used as there *may* be sufficient data of recent sales of similar *assets*. However, many types of plant and equipment are specialised and where direct sales evidence for such items will not be available, care *must* be exercised in offering an income or cost approach opinion of value when available market data is poor or non-existent. In such circumstances it *may* be appropriate to adopt either the income approach or the cost approach to the valuation.

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. The income approach to the valuation of plant and equipment can be used where specific cash flows can be identified for the *asset* or a group of complementary *assets*, eg, where a group of *assets* forming a process plant is operating to produce a marketable product. However, some of the cash flows *may* be attributable to intangible *assets* and difficult to separate from the cash flow contribution of the plant and equipment. Use of the income approach is not normally practical for many individual items of plant or equipment; however, it can be utilised in assessing the existence and quantum of economic obsolescence for an *asset* or *asset* group.
- 60.2. When an income approach is used to value plant and equipment, the valuation *must* consider the cash flows expected to be generated over the life of the *asset(s)* as well as the value of the *asset* at the end of its life. Care *must* be exercised when plant and equipment is valued on an income approach to ensure that elements of value relating to intangible *assets*, goodwill and other contributory *assets* is excluded (see IVS 210 Intangible *Assets*).

70. Cost Approach

70.1. The cost approach is commonly adopted for plant and equipment, particularly in the case of individual assets that are specialised or special-use facilities. The first step is to estimate the cost to a market participant of replacing the subject asset by reference to the lower of either reproduction or replacement cost. The replacement cost is the cost of obtaining an alternative asset of equivalent utility; this can either be a modern equivalent providing the same functionality or the cost of

reproducing an exact replica of the subject asset. After concluding on a replacement cost, the value should be adjusted to reflect the impact on value of physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence on value. In any event, adjustments made to any particular replacement cost should be designed to produce the same cost as the modern equivalent asset from an output and utility point of view.

- 70.2. An entity's actual costs incurred in the acquisition or construction of an asset may be appropriate for use as the replacement cost of an asset under certain circumstances. However, prior to using such historical cost information, the *valuer should* consider the following:
 - (a) Timing of the historical expenditures: An entity's actual costs *may* not be relevant, or *may* need to be adjusted for inflation/indexation to an equivalent as of the valuation date, if they were not incurred recently due to changes in market prices, inflation/deflation or other factors.
 - (b) The basis of value: Care must be taken when adopting a particular market participant's own costings or profit margins, as they may not represent what typical market participants might have paid. The valuer must also consider the possibility that the entity's costs incurred may not be historical in nature due to prior purchase accounting or the purchase of used plant and equipment assets. In any case, historical costs must be trended using appropriate indices.
 - (c) Specific costs included: A valuer must consider all significant costs that have been included and whether those costs contribute to the value of the asset and for some bases of value, some amount of profit margin on costs incurred may be appropriate.
 - (d) Non-market components: Any costs, discounts or rebates that would not be incurred by, or available to, typical market participants should be excluded.
- 70.3. Having established the replacement cost, deductions *must* be made to reflect the physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence as applicable (see IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, section 80).

Cost-to-Capacity Method

- 70.4. Under the cost-to-capacity method, the replacement cost of an *asset* with an actual or required capacity can be determined by reference to the cost of a similar *asset* with a different capacity.
- 70.5. The cost-to-capacity method is generally used in one of two ways:
 - (a) to estimate the replacement cost for an asset or assets with one capacity where the replacement costs of an asset or assets with a different capacity are known (such as when the capacity of two subject assets could be replaced by a single asset with a known cost), or
 - (b) to estimate the replacement cost for a modern equivalent *asset* with capacity that matches foreseeable demand where the subject *asset* has excess capacity (as a means of measuring the penalty for the lack of utility to be applied as part of an economic obsolescence adjustment).

- 70.6. This method *may* only be used as a check method unless there is an existence of an exact comparison plant of the same designed capacity that resides within the same geographical area.
- 70.7. It is noted that the relationship between cost and capacity is often not linear, so some form of exponential adjustment *may* also be required.

80. Special Considerations for Plant and Equipment

80.1. The following section Financing Arrangements addresses a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of plant and equipment.

90. Financing Arrangements

- 90.1. Generally, the value of an *asset* is independent of how it is financed. However, in some circumstances the way items of plant and equipment are financed and the stability of that financing *may* need to be considered in valuation.
- 90.2. An item of plant and equipment *may* be subject to a leasing or financing arrangement. Accordingly, the *asset* cannot be sold without the lender or lessor being paid any balance outstanding under the financing arrangement. This payment *may* or *may* not exceed the unencumbered value of the item to the extent unusual/excessive for the industry. Depending upon the *purpose* of the valuation, it *may* be appropriate to identify any encumbered *assets* and to report their values separately from the unencumbered *assets*.
- 90.3. Items of plant and equipment that are subject to operating leases are the property of third parties and are therefore not included in a valuation of the assets of the lessee, subject to the lease meeting certain conditions. However, such assets may need to be recorded as their presence may impact on the value of owned assets used in association. In any event, prior to undertaking a valuation, the valuer should establish (in conjunction with client and/or advisors) whether assets are subject to operating lease, finance lease or loan, or other secured lending. The conclusion on this regard and wider purpose of the valuation will then dictate the appropriate basis and valuation methodology.

IVS 400 Real Property Interests

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
Introduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for Real Property Interests	80
Hierarchy of Interests	90
Rent	100

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of real property interests. This standard contains additional requirements for valuations of real property interests.

20. Introduction

- 20.1. Property interests are normally defined by state or the law of individual *jurisdictions* and are often regulated by national or local legislation. Before undertaking a valuation of a real property interest, a *valuer must* understand the relevant legal framework that affects the interest being valued.
- 20.2. A real property interest is a right of ownership, control, use or occupation of land and buildings. There are three main types of interest:
 - (a) the superior interest in any defined area of land. The owner of this interest has an absolute right of possession and control of the land and any buildings upon it in perpetuity, subject only to any subordinate interests and any statutory or other legally enforceable constraints.
 - (b) a subordinate interest that normally gives the holder rights of exclusive possession and control of a defined area of land or buildings for a defined period, eg, under the terms of a lease contract, and/or
 - (c) a right to use land or buildings but without a right of exclusive possession or control, eg, a right to pass over land or to use it only for a specified activity.
- 20.3. Intangible assets fall outside the classification of real property assets. However, an intangible asset may be associated with, and have a material impact on, the value of real property assets. It is therefore essential to be clear in the scope of work precisely what the valuation assignment is to include or exclude. For example, the valuation of a hotel can be inextricably linked to the hotel brand. In such cases, the valuation process will involve consideration of the inclusion of intangible assets and their impact on the

- valuation of the real property and plant and equipment *assets*. When there is an intangible *asset* component, the *valuer should* also follow IVS 210 Intangible *Assets*.
- 20.4. Although different words and terms are used to describe these types of real property interest in different *jurisdictions*, the concepts of an unlimited absolute right of ownership, an exclusive interest for a limited period or a non-exclusive right for a specified *purpose* are common to most. The immovability of land and buildings means that it is the right that a party holds that is transferred in an exchange, not the physical land and buildings. The value, therefore, attaches to the legal interest rather than to the physical land and buildings.
- 20.5. To comply with the requirement to identify the *asset* to be valued in IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, para 20.3.(d) the following matters *must* be included:
 - (a) a description of the real property interest to be valued, and
 - (b) identification of any superior or subordinate interests that affect the interest to be valued.
- 20.6. To comply with the requirements to state the extent of the investigation and the nature and source of the information to be relied upon in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3.(j) and IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance, the following matters must be considered:
 - (a) the evidence required to verify the real property interest and any relevant related interests,
 - (b) the extent of any inspection,
 - (c) responsibility for information on the site area and any building floor areas,
 - (d) responsibility for confirming the specification and condition of any building.
 - (e) the extent of investigation into the nature, specification and adequacy of services,
 - (f) the existence of any information on ground and foundation conditions,
 - (g) responsibility for the identification of actual or potential environmental risks.
 - (h) legal permissions or restrictions on the use of the property and any buildings, as well as any expected or potential changes to legal permissions and restrictions.
- 20.7. Typical examples of special assumptions that *may* need to be agreed and confirmed in order to comply with IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, para 20.3. (k) include:
 - (a) that a defined physical change had occurred, eg, a proposed building is valued as if complete at the valuation date,

- (b) that there had been a change in the status of the property, eg, a vacant building had been leased or a leased building had become vacant at the valuation date.
- (c) that the interest is being valued without taking into account other existing interests, and
- (d) that the property is free from contamination or other environmental risks.
- 20.8. Valuations of real property interests are often required for different purposes including secured lending, sales and purchases, taxation, litigation, compensation, insolvency proceedings and financial reporting.

30. Bases of Value

- 30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a *valuer must* select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing real property interests.
- 30.2. Under most bases of value, a *valuer must* consider the highest and best use of the real property, which *may* differ from its current use (see IVS 104 Bases of Value, para 30.3). This assessment is particularly important to real property interests which can be changed from one use to another or that have development potential.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

- 40.1. The three valuation approaches described in the IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods* can all be applicable for the valuation of a real property interest.
- 40.2. When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, a *valuer must* follow the requirements of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, including para 10.3 and 10.4.

50. Market Approach

- 50.1. Property interests are generally heterogeneous (ie, with different characteristics). Even if the land and buildings have identical physical characteristics to others being exchanged in the market, the location will be different. Notwithstanding these dissimilarities, the market approach is commonly applied for the valuation of real property interests.
- 50.2. In order to compare the subject of the valuation with the price of other real property interests, *valuers should* adopt generally accepted and appropriate units of comparison that are considered by *participants*, dependent upon the type of *asset* being valued. Units of comparison that are commonly used include:
 - (a) price per square metre (or per square foot) of a building or per hectare for land.
 - (b) price per room, and
 - (c) price per unit of output, eg, crop yields.
- 50.3. A unit of comparison is only useful when it is consistently selected and applied to the subject property and the comparable properties in each analysis. To the extent possible, any unit of comparison used *should* be one commonly used by *participants* in the relevant market.

- 50.4. The reliance that can be applied to any comparable price data in the valuation process is determined by comparing various characteristics of the property and transaction from which the data was derived with the property being valued. Differences between the following should be considered in accordance with IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 30.8. Specific differences that should be considered in valuing real property interests include, but are not limited to:
 - (a) the type of interest providing the price evidence and the type of interest being valued,
 - (b) the respective locations,
 - (c) the respective quality of the land or the age and specification of the buildings,
 - (d) the permitted use or zoning at each property,
 - (e) the circumstances under which the price was determined and the basis of value required,
 - (f) the effective date of the price evidence and the valuation date, and
 - (g) market conditions at the time of the relevant transactions and how they differ from conditions at the valuation date.

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. Various methods are used to indicate value under the general heading of the income approach, all of which share the common characteristic that the value is based upon an actual or estimated income that either is, or could be, generated by an owner of the interest. In the case of an investment property, that income could be in the form of rent (see paras 90.1-90.3); in an owner-occupied building, it could be an assumed rent (or rent saved) based on what it would cost the owner to lease equivalent space.
- 60.2. For some real property interests, the income-generating ability of the property is closely tied to a particular use or business/trading activity (for example, hotels, golf courses, etc). Where a building is suitable for only a particular type of trading activity, the income is often related to the actual or potential cash flows that would accrue to the owner of that building from the trading activity. The use of a property's trading potential to indicate its value is often referred to as the "profits method".
- 60.3. When the income used in the income approach represents cash flow from a business/trading activity (rather than cash flow related to rent, maintenance and other real property-specific costs), the *valuer should* also comply as appropriate with the requirements of IVS 200 Business and Business Interests and, where applicable, IVS 210 Intangible *Assets*.
- 60.4. For real property interests, various forms of discounted cash flow models may be used. These vary in detail but share the basic characteristic that the cash flow for a defined future period is adjusted to a present value using a discount rate. The sum of the present day values for the individual periods represents an estimate of the capital value. The discount rate in a discounted cash flow model will be based on the time cost of money and the risks and rewards of the income stream in question.

- 60.5. Further information on the derivation of discount rates is included in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 50.29-50.31. The development of a yield or discount rate should be influenced by the objective of the valuation. For example:
 - (a) if the objective of the valuation is to establish the value to a particular owner or potential owner based on their own investment criteria, the rate used may reflect their required rate of return or their weighted average cost of capital, and
 - (b) if the objective of the valuation is to establish the market value, the discount rate *may* be derived from observation of the returns implicit in the price paid for real property interests traded in the market between *participants* or from hypothetical *participants*' required rates or return. When a discount rate is based on an analysis of market transactions, *valuers should* also follow the guidance contained in IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, paras 30.7 and 30.8.
- 60.6. An appropriate discount rate *may* also be built up from a typical "risk-free" return adjusted for the additional risks and opportunities specific to the particular real property interest.

70. Cost Approach

- 70.1. In applying the cost approach, *valuers must* follow the guidance contained in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 70.1-70.14.
- 70.2. This approach is generally applied to the valuation of real property interests through the depreciated replacement cost method.
- 70.3. It *may* be used as the primary approach when there is either no evidence of transaction prices for similar property or no identifiable actual or notional income stream that would accrue to the owner of the relevant interest.
- 70.4. In some cases, even when evidence of market transaction prices or an identifiable income stream is available, the cost approach *may* be used as a secondary or corroborating approach.
- 70.5. The first step requires a replacement cost to be calculated. This is normally the cost of replacing the property with a modern equivalent at the relevant valuation date. An exception is where an equivalent property would need to be a replica of the subject property in order to provide a participant with the same utility, in which case the replacement cost would be that of reproducing or replicating the subject building rather than replacing it with a modern equivalent. The replacement cost must reflect all incidental costs, as appropriate, such as the value of the land, infrastructure, design fees, finance costs and developer profit that would be incurred by a participant in creating an equivalent asset.
- 70.6. The cost of the modern equivalent *must* then, as appropriate, be subject to adjustment for physical, functional, technological and economic obsolescence (see IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, section 80). The objective of an adjustment for obsolescence is to estimate how much less valuable the subject property might, or would be, to a potential buyer than the modern equivalent. Obsolescence considers the physical condition, functionality and economic utility of the subject property compared to the modern equivalent.

80. Special Considerations for Real Property Interests

- 80.1. The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of real property interests.
 - (a) Hierarchy of Interests (section 90).
 - (b) Rent (section 100).

90. Hierarchy of Interests

- 90.1. The different types of real property interests are not mutually exclusive. For example, a superior interest *may* be subject to one or more subordinate interests. The owner of the absolute interest *may* grant a lease interest in respect of part or all of his interest. Lease interests granted directly by the owner of the absolute interest are "head lease" interests. Unless prohibited by the terms of the lease contract, the holder of a head lease interest can grant a lease of part or all of that interest to a third party, which is known as a sub-lease interest. A sub-lease interest will always be shorter than, or coterminous with, the head lease out of which it is created.
- 90.2. These property interests will have their own characteristics, as illustrated in the following examples:
 - (a) Although an absolute interest provides outright ownership in perpetuity, it may be subject to the effect of subordinate interests. These subordinate interests could include leases, restrictions imposed by a previous owner or restrictions imposed by statute.
 - (b) A lease interest will be for a defined period, at the end of which the property reverts to the holder of the superior interest out of which it was created. The lease contract will normally impose obligations on the lessee, eg, the payment of rent and other expenses. It may also impose conditions or restrictions, such as in the way the property may be used or on any transfer of the interest to a third party.
 - (c) A right of use may be held in perpetuity or may be for a defined period. The right may be dependent on the holder making payments or complying with certain other conditions.
- 90.3. When valuing a real property interest it is therefore necessary to identify the nature of the rights accruing to the holder of that interest and reflect any constraints or encumbrances imposed by the existence of other interests in the same property. The sum of the individual values of various different interests in the same property will frequently differ from the value of the unencumbered superior interest.

100. Rent

- 100.1. Market rent is addressed as a basis of value in IVS 104 Bases of Value.
- 100.2. When valuing either a superior interest that is subject to a lease or an interest created by a lease, *valuers must* consider the contract rent and, in cases where it is different, the market rent.

100.3. The contract rent is the rent payable under the terms of an actual lease. It *may* be fixed for the duration of the lease or variable. The frequency and basis of calculating variations in the rent will be set out in the lease and *must* be identified and understood in order to establish the total benefits accruing to the lessor and the liability of the lessee.

IVS 410 Development Property

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
Introduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for a Development Property	80
Residual Method	90
Existing Asset	100
Special Considerations for Financial Reporting	110
Special Considerations for Secured Lending	120

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards IVS 101 to IVS 105 apply to valuations of development property. This standard only includes modifications, additional requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards apply for valuations to which this standard applies. Valuations of development property *must* also follow IVS 400 Real Property Interests.

20. Introduction

- 20.1. In the context of this standard, development properties are defined as interests where redevelopment is required to achieve the highest and best use, or where improvements are either being contemplated or are in progress at the valuation date and include:
 - (a) the construction of buildings,
 - (b) previously undeveloped land which is being provided with infrastructure,
 - (c) the redevelopment of previously developed land,
 - (d) the improvement or alteration of existing buildings or structures,
 - (e) land allocated for development in a statutory plan, and
 - (f) land allocated for a higher value uses or higher density in a statutory plan.
- 20.2. Valuations of development property may be required for different purposes. It is the valuer's responsibility to understand the purpose of a valuation. A non-exhaustive list of examples of circumstances that may require a development valuation is provided below:

- (a) when establishing whether proposed projects are financially feasible,
- (b) as part of general consulting and transactional support engagements for acquisition and loan security.
- (c) for tax reporting *purposes*, development valuations are frequently needed for ad valorem taxation analyses,
- (d) for litigation requiring valuation analysis in circumstances such as shareholder disputes and damage calculations,
- (e) for financial reporting purposes, valuation of a development property is often required in connection with accounting for business combinations, asset acquisitions and sales, and impairment analysis, and
- (f) for other statutory or legal events that may require the valuation of development property such as compulsory purchases.
- When valuing development property, valuers must follow the applicable standard for that type of asset or liability (for example, IVS 400 Real Property Interests).
- 20.4. The residual value or land value of a development property can be very sensitive to changes in assumptions or projections concerning the income or revenue to be derived from the completed project or any of the development costs that will be incurred. This remains the case regardless of the method or methods used or however diligently the various inputs are researched in relation to the valuation date.
- 20.5. This sensitivity also applies to the impact of significant changes in either the costs of the project or the value on completion of the current value. If the valuation is required for a purpose where significant changes in value over the duration of a construction project may be of concern to the user (eg, where the valuation is for loan security or to establish a project's viability), the valuer must highlight the potentially disproportionate effect of possible changes in either the construction costs or end value on the profitability of the project and the value of the partially completed property. A sensitivity analysis may be useful for this purpose provided it is accompanied by a suitable explanation.

30. Bases of Value

- 30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a valuer must select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing development property.
- 30.2. The valuation of development property often includes a significant number of assumptions and special assumptions regarding the condition or status of the project when complete. For example, special assumptions may be made that the development has been completed or that the property is fully leased. As required by IVS 101 Scope of Work, significant assumptions and special assumptions used in a valuation must be communicated to all parties to the valuation engagement and must be agreed and confirmed in the scope of work. Particular care may also be required where reliance may be placed by third parties on the valuation outcome.

- 30.3. Frequently it will be either impracticable or impossible to verify every feature of a development property which could have an impact on potential future development, such as where ground conditions have yet to be investigated. When this is the case, it *may* be appropriate to make assumptions (eg, that there are no abnormal ground conditions that would result in *significantly* increased costs). If this was an assumption that a *participant* would not make, it would need to be presented as a special assumption.
- 30.4. In situations where there has been a change in the market since a project was originally conceived, a project under construction may no longer represent the highest and best use of the land. In such cases, the costs to complete the project originally proposed may be irrelevant as a buyer in the market would either demolish any partially completed structures or adapt them for an alternative project. The value of the development property under construction would need to reflect the current value of the alternative project and the costs and risks associated with completing that project.
- 30.5. For some development properties, the property is closely tied to a particular use or business/trading activity or a special assumption is made that the completed property will trade at specified and sustainable levels. In such cases, the *valuer must*, as appropriate, also comply with the requirements of IVS 200 Business and Business Interests and, where applicable, IVS 210 Intangible *Assets*.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

- 40.1. The three principal valuation approaches described in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods may* all be applicable for the valuation of a real property interest. There are two main approaches in relation to the valuation the development property. These are:-
 - (a) the market approach (see section 50), and
 - (b) the residual method, which is a hybrid of the market approach, the income approach and the cost approach (see sections 40-70). This is based on the completed "gross development value" and the deduction of development costs and the developer's return to arrive at the residual value of the development property (see section 90).
- 40.2. When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this standard, a *valuer must* follow the requirements of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, including para 10.3.
- 40.3. The valuation approach to be used will depend on the required basis of value as well as specific facts and circumstances, eg, the level of recent transactions, the stage of development of the project and movements in property markets since the project started, and *should* always be that which is most appropriate to those circumstances. Therefore, the exercise of judgement in the selection of the most suitable approach is critical.

50. Market Approach

50.1. Some types of development property can be sufficiently homogenous and frequently exchanged in a market for there to be sufficient data from recent sales to use as a direct comparison where a valuation is required.

- 50.2. In most markets, the market approach may have limitations for larger or more complex development property, or smaller properties where the proposed improvements are heterogeneous. This is because the number and extent of the variables between different properties make direct comparisons of all variables inapplicable though correctly adjusted market evidence (See IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, section 20.5) may be used as the basis for a number of variables within the valuation.
- 50.3. For development property where work on the improvements has commenced but is incomplete, the application of the market approach is even more problematic. Such properties are rarely transferred between participants in their partially-completed state, except as either part of a transfer of the owning entity or where the seller is either insolvent or facing insolvency and therefore unable to complete the project. Even in the unlikely event of there being evidence of a transfer of another partially-completed development property close to the valuation date, the degree to which work has been completed would almost certainly differ, even if the properties were otherwise similar.
- 50.4. The market approach *may* also be appropriate for establishing the value of a completed property as one of the inputs required under the residual method, which is explained more fully in the section on the residual method (section 90).

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. Establishing the residual value of a development property *may* involve the use of a cash flow model in some markets.
- 60.2. The income approach *may* also be appropriate for establishing the value of a completed property as one of the inputs required under the residual method, which is explained more fully in the section on the residual method (see section 90).

70. Cost Approach

- 70.1. Establishing the development costs is a key component of the residual approach (see para 90.5).
- 70.2. The cost approach *may* also exclusively be used as a means of indicating the value of development property such as a proposed development of a building or other structure for which there is no active market on completion.
- 70.3. The cost approach is based on the economic principle that a buyer will pay no more for an asset than the amount to create an asset of equal utility. To apply this principle to development property, the valuer must consider the cost that a prospective buyer would incur in acquiring a similar asset with the potential to earn a similar profit from development as could be obtained from development of the subject property. However, unless there are unusual circumstances affecting the subject development property, the process of analysing a proposed development and determining the anticipated costs for a hypothetical alternative would effectively replicate either the market approach or the residual method as described above, which can be applied directly to the subject property.

70.4. Another difficulty in applying the cost approach to development property is in determining the profit level, which is its "utility" to a prospective buyer. Although a developer *may* have a target profit at the commencement of a project, the actual profit is normally determined by the value of the property at completion. Moreover, as the property approaches completion, some of the risks associated with development are likely to reduce, which *may* impact on the required return of a buyer. Unless a fixed price has been agreed, profit is not determined by the costs incurred in acquiring the land and undertaking the improvements.

80. Special Considerations for a Development Property

- 80.1. The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of development property:
 - (a) Residual Method (section 90).
 - (b) Existing Asset (section 100).
 - (c) Special Considerations for Financial Reporting (section 110).
 - (d) Special Considerations for Secured Lending (section 120).

90. Residual Method

- 90.1. The residual method is so called because it indicates the residual amount after deducting all known or anticipated costs required to complete the development from the anticipated value of the project when completed after consideration of the risks associated with completion of the project. This is known as the residual value. The residual value, derived from the residual method, may or may not equate to the market value of the development property in its current condition.
- 90.2. The residual value can be highly sensitive to relatively small changes in the forecast cash flows and the practitioner *should* provide separate sensitivity analyses for each *significant* factor.
- 90.3. Caution is required in the use of this method because of the sensitivity of the result to changes in many of the inputs, which *may* not be precisely known on the valuation date, and therefore have to be estimated with the use of assumptions.
- 90.4. The models used to apply the residual method vary considerably in complexity and sophistication, with the more complex models allowing for greater granularity of inputs, multiple development phases and sophisticated analytical tools. The most suitable model will depend on the size, duration and complexity of the proposed development.
- 90.5. In applying the residual method, a *valuer should* consider and evaluate the reasonableness and reliability of the following:
 - (a) the source of information on any proposed building or structure, eg, any plans and specification that are to be relied on in the valuation, and
 - (b) any source of information on the construction and other costs that will be incurred in completing the project and which will be used in the valuation.

- 90.6. The following basic elements require consideration in any application of the method to estimate the market value of development property and if another basis is required, alternative inputs *may* be required.
 - (a) Completed property value,
 - (b) Construction costs.
 - (c) Consultants fees.
 - (d) Marketing costs,
 - (e) Timetable,
 - (f) Finance costs,
 - (g) Development profit,
 - (h) Discount rate.

Value of Completed Property

- 90.7. The first step requires an estimate of the value of the relevant interest in the real property following notional completion of the development project, which should be developed in accordance with IVS 105 Valuation Methods and Approaches.
- 90.8. Regardless of the methods adopted under either the market or income approach, the *valuer must* adopt one of the two basic underlying assumptions:
 - (a) the estimated market value on completion is based on values that are current on the valuation date on the special assumption the project had already been completed in accordance with the defined plans and specification, or
 - (b) the estimated value on completion is based on the special assumption that the project is completed in accordance with the defined plans and specification on the anticipated date of completion.
- 90.9. Market practice and availability of relevant data *should* determine which of these assumptions is more appropriate. However, it is important that there is clarity as to whether current or projected values are being used.
- 90.10. If estimated gross development value is used, it *should* be made clear that these are based on special assumptions that a *participant* would make based on information available on the valuation date.
- 90.11. It is also important that care is taken to ensure that consistent assumptions are used throughout the residual value calculation, ie, if current values are used then the costs should also be current and discount rates derived from analysis of current prices.
- 90.12. If there is a pre-sale or pre-lease agreement in place that is conditional on the project, or a relevant part, being completed, this will be reflected in the valuation of the completed property. Care *should* be taken to establish whether the price in a pre-sale agreement or the rent and other terms in a pre-lease agreement reflect those that would be agreed between *participants* on the valuation date.

- 90.13. If the terms are not reflective of the market, adjustments *may* need to be made to the valuation.
- 90.14. It would also be appropriate to establish if these agreements would be assignable to a purchaser of the relevant interest in the development property prior to the completion of the project.

Construction Costs

- 90.15. The costs of all work required at the valuation date to complete the project to the defined specification need to be identified. Where no work has started, this will include any preparatory work required prior to the main building contract, such as the costs of obtaining statutory permissions, demolition or off-site enabling work.
- 90.16. Where work has commenced, or is about to commence, there will normally be a contract or contracts in place that can provide the independent confirmation of cost. However, if there are no contracts in place, or if the actual contract costs are not typical of those that would be agreed in the market on the valuation date, then it *may* be necessary to estimate these costs reflecting the reasonable expectation of *participants* on the valuation date of the probable costs.
- 90.17. The benefit of any work carried out prior to the valuation date will be reflected in the value, but will not determine that value. Similarly, previous payments under the actual building contract for work completed prior to the valuation date are not relevant to current value.
- 90.18. In contrast, if payments under a building contract are geared to the work completed, the sums remaining to be paid for work not yet undertaken at the valuation date *may* be the best evidence of the construction costs required to complete the work.
- 90.19. However, contractual costs *may* include special requirements of a specific end user and therefore *may* not reflect the general requirements of *participants*.
- 90.20. Moreover, if there is a material risk that the contract may not be fulfilled, (eg, due to a dispute or insolvency of one of the parties), it may be more appropriate to reflect the cost of engaging a new contractor to complete the outstanding work.
- 90.21. When valuing a partly completed development property, it is not appropriate to rely solely on projected costs and income contained in any project plan or feasibility study produced at the commencement of the project.
- 90.22. Once the project has commenced, this is not a reliable tool for measuring value as the inputs will be historic. Likewise, an approach based on estimating the percentage of the project that has been completed prior to the valuation date is unlikely to be relevant in determining the current market value.

Consultants' Fees

90.23. These include legal and professional costs that would be reasonably incurred by a *participant* at various stages through the completion of the project.

Marketing Costs

90.24. If there is no identified buyer or lessee for the completed project, it will normally be appropriate to allow for the costs associated with appropriate marketing, and for any leasing commissions and consultants' fees incurred for marketing not included under para 90.23.

Timetable

- 90.25. The duration of the project from the valuation date to the expected date of physical completion of the project needs to be considered, together with the phasing of all cash outflows for construction costs, consultants' fees, etc.
- 90.26. If there is no sale agreement in place for the relevant interest in the development property following practical completion, an estimate *should* be made of the marketing period that might typically be required following completion of construction until a sale is achieved.
- 90.27. If the property is to be held for investment after completion and if there are no pre-leasing agreements, the time required to reach stabilised occupancy needs to be considered (ie, the period required to reach a realistic long-term occupancy level). For a project where there will be individual letting units, the stabilised occupancy levels *may* be less than 100 percent if market experience indicates that a number of units *may* be expected to always be vacant, and allowance *should* be considered for costs incurred by the owner during this period such as additional marketing costs, incentives, maintenance and/or unrecoverable service charges.

Finance Costs

90.28. These represent the cost of finance for the project from the valuation date through to the completion of the project, including any period required after physical completion to either sell the interest or achieve stabilised occupancy. As a lender *may* perceive the risks during construction to differ substantially from the risks following completion of construction, the finance cost during each period *may* also need to be considered separately. Even if an entity is intending to self-fund the project, an allowance *should* be made for interest at a rate which would be obtainable by a *participant* for borrowing to fund the completion of the project on the valuation date.

Development Profit

- 90.29. Allowance *should* be made for development profit, or the return that would be required by a buyer of the development property in the market place for taking on the risks associated with completion of the project on the valuation date. This will include the risks involved in achieving the anticipated income or capital value following physical completion of the project.
- 90.30. This target profit can be expressed as a lump sum, a percentage return on the costs incurred or a percentage of the anticipated value of the project on completion or a rate of return. Market practice for the type of property in question will normally indicate the most appropriate option. The amount of profit that would be required will reflect the level of risk that would be perceived by a prospective buyer on the valuation date and will vary according to factors such as:

- (a) the stage which the project has reached on the valuation date. A project which is nearing completion will normally be viewed as being less risky than one at an early stage, with the exception of situations where a party to the development is insolvent,
- (b) whether a buyer or lessee has been secured for the completed project, and
- (c) the size and anticipated remaining duration of the project. The longer the project, the greater the risk caused by exposure to fluctuations in future costs and receipts and changing economic conditions generally.
- 90.31. The following are examples of factors that *may* typically need to be considered in an assessment of the relative risks associated with the completion of a development project:
 - (a) unforeseen complications that increase construction costs,
 - (b) potential for contract delays caused by adverse weather or other matters outside of developer's control,
 - (c) delays in obtaining statutory consents,
 - (d) supplier failures,
 - (e) entitlement risk and changes in entitlements over the development period,
 - (f) regulatory changes, and
 - (g) delays in finding a buyer or lessee for the completed project.
- 90.32. Whilst all of the above factors will impact the perceived risk of a project and the profit that a buyer or the development property would require, care *must* be taken to avoid double counting, either where contingencies are already reflected in the residual valuation model or risks in the discount rate used to bring future cash flows to present value.
- 90.33. The risk of the estimated value of the completed development project changing due to changed market conditions over the duration of the project will normally be reflected in the discount rate or capitalisation rate used to value the completed project.
- 90.34. The profit anticipated by the owner of an interest in development property at the commencement of a development project will vary according to the valuation of its interest in the project once construction has commenced. The valuation *should* reflect those risks remaining at the valuation date and the discount or return that a buyer of the partially completed project would require for bringing it to a successful conclusion.

Discount Rate

90.35. In order to arrive at an indication of the value of the development property on the valuation date, the residual method requires the application of a discount rate to all future cash flows in order to arrive at a net present value. This discount rate *may* be derived using a variety of methods (see IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 50.29-50.31.

90.36. If the cash flows are based on values and costs that are current on the valuation date, the risk of these changing between the valuation date and the anticipated completion date *should* be considered and reflected in the discount rate used to determine the present value. If the cash flows are based on prospective values and costs, the risk of those projections proving to be inaccurate *should* be considered and reflected in the discount rate.

100. Existing Asset

- 100.1. In the valuation of development property, it is necessary to establish the suitability of the real property in question for the proposed development. Some matters may be within the valuer's knowledge and experience but some may require information or reports from other specialists. Matters that typically need to be considered for specific investigation when undertaking a valuation of a development property before a project commences include:
 - (a) whether or not there is a market for the proposed development,
 - (b) is the proposed development the highest and best use of the property in the current market.
 - (c) whether there are other non-financial obligations that need to be considered (political or social criteria),
 - (d) legal permissions or zoning, including any conditions or constraints on permitted development,
 - (e) limitations, encumbrances or conditions imposed on the relevant interest by private contract,
 - (f) rights of access to public highways or other public areas,
 - (g) geotechnical conditions, including potential for contamination or other environmental risks,
 - (h) the availability of, and requirements to, provide or improve necessary services, eg, water, drainage and power,
 - the need for any off-site infrastructure improvements and the rights required to undertake this work,
 - (j) any archaeological constraints or the need for archaeological investigations,
 - (k) sustainability and any *client* requirements in relation to green buildings,
 - economic conditions and trends and their potential impact on costs and receipts during the development period,
 - (m) current and projected supply and demand for the proposed future uses,
 - (n) the availability and cost of funding,
 - (o) the expected time required to deal with preparatory matters prior to starting work, for the completion of the work and, if appropriate, to rent or sell the completed property, and
 - (p) any other risks associated with the proposed development.

100.2. Where a project is in progress, additional enquires or investigations will typically be needed into the contracts in place for the design of the project, for its construction and for supervision of the construction.

110. Special Considerations for Financial Reporting

- 110.1. The accounting treatment of development property can vary depending on how it is classified by the reporting entity (eg, whether it is being held for sale, for owner occupation or as investment property). This *may* affect the valuation requirements and therefore the classification and the relevant accounting requirements need to be determined before selecting an appropriate valuation method.
- 110.2. Financial statements are normally produced on the assumption that the entity is a going concern. It is therefore normally appropriate to assume that any contracts (eg, for the construction of a development property or for its sale or leasing on completion), would pass to the buyer in the hypothetical exchange, even if those contracts *may* not be assignable in an actual exchange. An exception would be if there was evidence of an abnormal risk of default by a contracted party on the valuation date.

120. Special Considerations for Secured Lending

120.1. The appropriate basis of valuation for secured lending is normally market value. However, in considering the value of a development property, regard *should* be given to the probability that any contracts in place, eg, for construction or for the sale or leasing of the completed project *may*, become void or voidable in the event of one of the parties being the subject of formal insolvency proceedings. Further regard *should* be given to any contractual obligations that *may* have a material impact on market value. Therefore, it *may* be appropriate to highlight the risk to a lender caused by a prospective buyer of the property not having the benefit of existing building contracts and/or pre-leases, and pre-sales and any associated warrantees and guarantees in the event of a default by the borrower.

IVS 500 Financial Instruments

Contents	Paragraphs
Overview	10
ntroduction	20
Bases of Value	30
Valuation Approaches and Methods	40
Market Approach	50
Income Approach	60
Cost Approach	70
Special Considerations for Financial Instruments	80
Valuation Inputs	90
Credit Risk Adjustments	100
Liquidity and Market Activity	110
Valuation Control and Objectivity	120

10. Overview

10.1. The principles contained in the General Standards apply to valuations of financial instruments. This standard only includes modifications, additional requirements or specific examples of how the General Standards apply for valuations to which this standard applies.

20. Introduction

- 20.1. A financial instrument is a contract that creates rights or obligations between specified parties to receive or pay cash or other financial consideration. Such instruments include but are not limited to, derivatives or other contingent instruments, hybrid instruments, fixed income, structured products and equity instruments. A financial instrument can also be created through the combination of other financial instruments in a portfolio to achieve a specific net financial outcome.
- 20.2. Valuations of financial instruments conducted under IVS 500 *Financial Instruments* can be performed for many different *purposes* including, but not limited to:
 - (a) acquisitions, mergers and sales of businesses or parts of businesses.
 - (b) purchase and sale.
 - (c) financial reporting,
 - (d) legal or regulatory requirements (subject to any specific requirements set by the relevant authority),
 - (e) internal risk and compliance procedures,
 - (f) tax, and
 - (g) litigation.

- 20.3. A thorough understanding of the instrument being valued is required to identify and evaluate the relevant market information available for identical or comparable instruments. Such information includes prices from recent transactions in the same or a similar instrument, quotes from brokers or pricing services, credit ratings, yields, volatility, indices or any other inputs relevant to the valuation process.
- 20.4. When valuations are being undertaken by the holding entity that are intended for use by external investors, regulatory authorities or other entities, to comply with the requirement to confirm the identity and status of the *valuer* in IVS 101 *Scope of Work*, para 20.3.(a), reference *must* be made to the control environment in place, as required by IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods* and IVS 500 *Financial Instruments* paras 120.1-120.3 regarding control environment.
- 20.5. To comply with the requirement to identify the asset or liability to be valued as in IVS 101 Scope of Work, para 20.3.(d), the following matters must be addressed:
 - (a) the class or classes of instrument to be valued.
 - (b) whether the valuation is to be of individual instruments or a portfolio, and
 - (c) the unit of account.
- 20.6. IVS 102 Investigations and Compliance, paras 20.2-20.4 provide that the investigations required to support the valuation *must* be adequate having regard to the *purpose* of the assignment. To support these investigations, sufficient evidence supplied by the *valuer* and/or a credible and reliable third party *must* be assembled. To comply with these requirements, the following are to be considered:
 - (a) All market data used or considered as an input into the valuation process *must* be understood and, as necessary, validated.
 - (b) Any model used to estimate the value of a financial instrument shall be selected to appropriately capture the contractual terms and economics of the financial instrument.
 - (c) Where observable prices of, or market inputs from, similar financial instruments are available, those imputed inputs from comparable price(s) and/or observable inputs should be adjusted to reflect the contractual and economic terms of the financial instrument being valued.
 - (d) Where possible, multiple valuation approaches are preferred. If differences in value occur between the valuation approaches, the *valuer must* explain and document the differences in value.
- 20.7. To comply with the requirement to disclose the valuation approach(es) and reasoning in IVS 103 Reporting, para 20.1, consideration *must* be given to the appropriate degree of reporting detail. The requirement to disclose this information in the valuation report will differ for different categories of financial instruments. Sufficient information *should* be provided to allow users to understand the nature of each class of instrument valued and the primary factors influencing the values. Information that adds little to a users' understanding as to the nature of the *asset* or liability, or that obscures the

primary factors influencing value, *must* be avoided. In determining the level of disclosure that is appropriate, regard *must* be had to the following:

- (a) Materiality: The value of an instrument or class of instruments in relation to the total value of the holding entity's assets and liabilities or the portfolio that is valued.
- (b) Uncertainty: The value of the instrument *may* be subject to *significant* uncertainty on the valuation date due to the nature of the instrument, the model or inputs used or to market abnormalities. Disclosure of the cause and nature of any material uncertainty *should* be made.
- (c) Complexity: The greater the complexity of the instrument, the greater the appropriate level of detail to ensure that the assumptions and inputs affecting value are identified and explained.
- (d) Comparability: The instruments that are of particular interest to users may differ with the passage of time. The usefulness of the valuation report, or any other reference to the valuation, is enhanced if it reflects the information demands of users as market conditions change, although, to be meaningful, the information presented should allow comparison with previous periods.
- (e) Underlying instruments: If the cash flows of a financial instrument are generated from or secured by identifiable underlying assets or liabilities, the relevant factors that influence the underlying value must be provided in order to help users understand how the underlying value impacts the estimated value of the financial instrument.

30. Bases of Value

- 30.1. In accordance with IVS 104 Bases of Value, a *valuer must* select the appropriate basis(es) of value when valuing financial instruments.
- 30.2. Often, financial instrument valuations are performed using bases of value defined by entities/organisations other than the IVSC (some examples of which are mentioned in IVS 104 Bases of Value) and it is the *valuer's* responsibility to understand and follow the regulation, case law, tax law and other interpretive guidance related to those bases of value as of the valuation date.

40. Valuation Approaches and Methods

- 40.1. When selecting an approach and method, in addition to the requirements of this chapter, a *valuer must* follow the requirements of IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*.
- 40.2. The three valuation approaches described in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches* and *Methods may* be applied to the valuation of financial instruments.
- 40.3. The various valuation methods used in financial markets are based on variations of the market approach, the income approach or the cost approach as described in the IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*. This standard describes the commonly used methods and matters that need to be considered or the inputs needed when applying these methods.

40.4. When using a particular valuation method or model, it is important to ensure that it is calibrated with observable market information, where available, on a regular basis to ensure that the model reflects current market conditions. As market conditions change, it may become necessary to change to a more suitable model(s) or to modify the existing model and recalibrate and/ or make additional adjustments to the valuation inputs. Those adjustments should be made to ensure consistency with the required valuation basis, which in turn is determined by the purpose for which the valuation is required; see the IVS Framework.

50. Market Approach

- 50.1. A price obtained from trading on a liquid exchange on, or very close to, the time or date of valuation is normally the best indication of the market value of a holding of the identical instrument. In cases where there have not been recent relevant transactions, the evidence of quoted or consensus prices, or private transactions *may* also be relevant.
- 50.2. It may be necessary to make adjustments to the price information if the observed instrument is dissimilar to that being valued or if the information is not recent enough to be relevant. For example, if an observable price is available for similar instruments with one or more different characteristics to the instrument being valued, then the implied inputs from the comparable observable price are to be adjusted to reflect the specific terms of the financial instrument being valued.
- 50.3. When relying on a price from a pricing service, the *valuer must* understand how the price was derived.

60. Income Approach

- 60.1. The value of financial instruments *may* be determined using a discounted cash flow method. The terms of an instrument determine, or allow estimation of, the undiscounted cash flows. The terms of a financial instrument typically set out:
 - (a) the timing of the cash flows, ie, when the entity expects to realise the cash flows related to the instrument.
 - (b) the calculation of the cash flows, eg, for a debt instrument, the interest rate that applies, or for a derivative instrument, how the cash flows are calculated in relation to the underlying instrument or index (or indices),
 - (c) the timing and conditions for any options in the contract, eg, put or call, prepayment, extension or conversion options, and
 - (d) protection of the rights of the parties to the instrument, eg, terms relating to credit risk in debt instruments or the priority over, or subordination to, other instruments held.
- 60.2. In establishing the appropriate discount rate, it is necessary to assess the return that would be required on the instrument to compensate for the time value of money and potential additional risks from, but not limited to the following:
 - (a) the terms and conditions of the instrument, eq. subordination.

- (b) the credit risk, ie, uncertainty about the ability of the counterparty to make payments when due,
- (c) the liquidity and marketability of the instrument,
- (d) the risk of changes to the regulatory or legal environment, and
- (e) the tax status of the instrument.
- 60.3. Where future cash flows are not based on fixed contracted amounts, estimates of the expected cash flows will need to be made in order to determine the necessary inputs. The determination of the discount rate *must* reflect the risks of, and be consistent with, the cash flows. For example, if the expected cash flows are measured net of credit losses then the discount rate *must* be reduced by the credit risk component. Depending upon the *purpose of the valuation*, the inputs and assumptions made into the cash flow model will need to reflect either those that would be made by *participants*, or those that would be based on the holder's current expectations or targets. For example, if the *purpose of the valuation* is to determine market value, or fair value as defined in IFRS, the assumptions *should* reflect those of *participants*. If the *purpose* is to measure performance of an *asset* against management determined benchmarks, eg, a target internal rate of return, then alternative assumptions *may* be appropriate.

70. Cost Approach

70.1. In applying the cost approach, *valuers must* follow the guidance contained in IVS 105 *Valuation Approaches and Methods*, paras 70.1-70.14.

80. Special Considerations for Financial Instruments

- 80.1. The following sections address a non-exhaustive list of topics relevant to the valuation of financial instruments:
 - (a) Valuation Inputs (section 90).
 - (b) Credit Risk (section 100).
 - (c) Liquidity and Market Activity (section 110).
 - (d) Control Environment (section 120).

90. Valuation Inputs

- 90.1. As per IVS 105 Valuation Approaches and Methods, para 10.7, any data set used as a valuation input, understanding the sources and how inputs are adjusted by the provider, if any, is essential to understanding the reliance that should be given to the use of the valuation input.
- 90.2. Valuation inputs *may* come from a variety of sources. Commonly used valuation input sources are broker quotations, consensus pricing services, the prices of comparable instruments from third parties and market data pricing services. Implied inputs can often be derived from such observable prices such as volatility and yields.
- 90.3. When assessing the validity of broker quotations, as evidence of how participants would price an asset, the valuer should consider the following:

- (a) Brokers generally make markets and provide bids in respect of more popular instruments and *may* not extend coverage to less liquid instruments. Because liquidity often reduces with time, quotations *may* be harder to find for older instruments.
- (b) A broker is concerned with trading, not supporting valuation, and they have little incentive to research an indicative quotation as thoroughly as they would an executable quotation. A valuer is required to understand whether the broker quote is a binding, executable quote or a non-binding, theoretical quote. In the case of a non-binding quote, the valuer is required to gather additional information to understand if the quote should be adjusted or omitted from the valuation.
- (c) There is an inherent conflict of interest where the broker is the counterparty to an instrument.
- (d) Brokers have an incentive to encourage trading.
- 90.4. Consensus pricing services operate by collecting price or valuation input information about an instrument from several participating subscribers. They reflect a pool of quotations from different sources, sometimes with adjustment to compensate for any sampling bias. This overcomes the conflict of interest problems associated with single brokers. However, as with a broker quotation, it *may* not be possible to find a suitable input for all instruments in all markets. Additionally, despite its name, a consensus price *may* not necessarily constitute a true market "consensus", but rather is more of a statistical estimate of recent market transactions or quoted prices. Therefore, the *valuer* needs to understand how the consensus pricing was estimated and if such estimates are reasonable, given the instrument being valued. Information and inputs relevant to the valuation of an illiquid instrument can often be gleaned through comparable transactions (see section 110 for further details).

100. Credit Risk Adjustments

- 100.1. Understanding the credit risk is often an important aspect of valuing a financial instrument and most importantly the issuer. Some of the common factors that need to be considered in establishing and measuring credit risk include the following:
 - (a) Own credit and counterparty risk: Assessing the financial strength of the issuer or any credit support providers will involve consideration of not only historical and projected financial performance of the relevant entity or entities but also consideration of performance and prospects for the industry sector in which the business operates. In addition to issuer credit, the *valuer must* also consider the credit exposure of any counterparties to the *asset* or liability being valued. In the case of a clearing house settlement process, many *jurisdictions* now require certain derivatives to be transacted through a central counterparty which can mitigate risk, however residual counterparty risk needs to be considered.
 - (b) The *valuer* also needs to be able to differentiate between the credit risk of the instrument and the credit risk of the issuer and/or counterparty. Generally, the credit risk of the issuer or counterparty does not consider specific collateral related to the instrument.

- (c) Subordination: Establishing the priority of an instrument is critical in assessing the default risk. Other instruments *may* have priority over an issuer's *assets* or the cash flows that support the instrument.
- (d) Leverage: The amount of debt used to fund the assets from which an instrument's return is derived can affect the volatility of returns to the issuer and credit risk.
- (e) Netting agreements: Where derivative instruments are held between counterparties, credit risk may be reduced by a netting or offset agreement that limits the obligations to the net value of the transactions, ie, if one party becomes insolvent, the other party has the right to offset sums owed to the insolvent party against sums due under other instruments.
- (f) Default protection: Many instruments contain some form of protection to reduce the risk of non-payment to the holder. Protection might take the form of a guarantee by a third party, an insurance contract, a credit default swap or more assets to support the instrument than are needed to make the payments. Credit exposure is also reduced if subordinated instruments take the first losses on the underlying assets and therefore reduce the risk to more senior instruments. When protection is in the form of a guarantee, an insurance contract or a credit default swap, it is necessary to identify the party providing the protection and assess that party's creditworthiness. Considering the credit worthiness of a third party involves not only the current position but also the possible effect of any other guarantees or insurance contracts the entity has written. If the provider of a guarantee has also guaranteed other correlated debt securities, the risk of its non-performance will likely increase.
- 100.2. For parties for which limited information is available, if secondary trading in a financial instrument exists, there may be sufficient market data to provide evidence of the appropriate risk adjustment. If not, it might be necessary to look to credit indices, information available for entities with similar risk characteristics, or estimate a credit rating for the party using its own financial information. The varying sensitivities of different liabilities to credit risk, such as collateral and/or maturity differences, should be taken into account in evaluating which source of credit data provides the most relevant information. The risk adjustment or credit spread applied is based on the amount a participant would require for the particular instrument being valued.
- 100.3. The own credit risk associated with a liability is important to its value as the credit risk of the issuer is relevant to the value in any transfer of that liability. Where it is necessary to assume a transfer of the liability regardless of any actual constraints on the ability of the counterparties to do so, eg, in order to comply with financial reporting requirements, there are various potential sources for reflecting own credit risk in the valuation of liabilities. These include the yield curve for the entity's own bonds or other debt issued, credit default swap spreads, or by reference to the value of the corresponding asset. However, in many cases the issuer of a liability will not have the ability to transfer it and can only settle the liability with the counterparty.

- 100.4. Collateral: The assets to which the holder of an instrument has recourse in the event of default need to be considered. In particular, the valuer needs to be understand whether recourse is to all the assets of the issuer or only to specified asset(s). The greater the value and liquidity of the asset(s) to which an entity has recourse in the event of default, the lower the overall risk of the instrument due to increased recovery. In order not to double count, the valuer also needs to consider if the collateral is already accounted for in another area of the balance sheet.
- 100.5. When adjusting for own credit risk of the instrument, it is also important to consider the nature of the collateral available for the liabilities being valued. Collateral that is legally separated from the issuer normally reduces the credit exposure. If liabilities are subject to a frequent collateralisation process, there might not be a material own credit risk adjustment because the counterparty is mostly protected from loss in the event of default.

110. Liquidity and Market Activity

- 110.1. The liquidity of financial instruments range from those that are standardised and regularly transacted in high volumes to those that are agreed between counterparties that are incapable of assignment to a third party. This range means that consideration of the liquidity of an instrument or the current level of market activity is important in determining the most appropriate valuation approach.
- 110.2. Liquidity and market activity are distinct. The liquidity of an asset is a measure of how easily and quickly it can be transferred in return for cash or a cash equivalent. Market activity is a measure of the volume of trading at any given time, and is a relative rather than an absolute measure. Low market activity for an instrument does not necessarily imply the instrument is illiquid.
- 110.3. Although separate concepts, illiquidity or low levels of market activity pose similar valuation challenges through a lack of relevant market data, ie, data that is either current at the valuation date or that relates to a sufficiently similar asset to be reliable. The lower the liquidity or market activity, the greater the reliance that will be needed on valuation approaches that use techniques to adjust or weight the inputs based on the evidence of other comparable transactions to reflect either market changes or differing characteristics of the asset.

120. Valuation Control and Objectivity

- 120.1. The control environment consists of the internal governance and control procedures that are in place with the objective of increasing the confidence of those who *may* rely on the valuation in the valuation process and conclusion. Where an external *valuer* is placing reliance upon an internally performed valuation, the external *valuer must* consider the adequacy and independence of the valuation control environment.
- 120.2. In comparison with other *asset* classes, financial instruments are more commonly valued internally by the same entity that creates and trades them. Internal valuations bring into question the independence of the *valuer* and hence this creates risk to the perceived objectivity of valuations. Please reference 40.1 and 40.2 of the IVS *Framework* regarding valuation performed by internal *valuers* and the need for procedures to be in place

Asset Standards

to ensure the objectivity of the valuation and steps that should be taken to ensure that an adequate control environment exists to minimise threats to the independence of the valuation. Many entities which deal with the valuation of financial instruments are registered and regulated by statutory financial regulators. Most financial regulators require banks or other regulated entities that deal with financial instruments to have independent price verification procedures. These operate separately from trading desks to produce valuations required for financial reporting or the calculation of regulatory capital guidance on the specific valuation controls required by different regulatory regimes. This is outside the scope of this standard. However, as a general principle, valuations produced by one department of an entity that are to be included in financial statements or otherwise relied on by third parties should be subject to scrutiny and approval by an independent department of the entity. Ultimate authority for such valuations should be separate from, and fully independent of, the risk-taking functions. The practical means of achieving a separation of the function will vary according to the nature of the entity, the type of instrument being valued and the materiality of the value of the particular class of instrument to the overall objective. The appropriate protocols and controls should be determined by careful consideration of the threats to objectivity that would be perceived by a third party relying on the valuation.

- 120.3. When accessing your valuation controls, the following include items you *should* consider in the valuation process:
 - (a) establishing a governance group responsible for valuation policies and procedures and for oversight of the entity's valuation process, including some members external to the entity,
 - (b) systems for regulatory compliance if applicable,
 - (c) a protocol for the frequency and methods for calibration and testing of valuation models.
 - (d) criteria for verification of certain valuations by different internal or external experts,
 - (e) periodic independent validation of the valuation model(s),
 - (f) identifying thresholds or events that trigger more thorough investigation or secondary approval requirements, and
 - (g) identifying procedures for establishing significant inputs that are not directly observable in the market, eg, by establishing pricing or audit committees

Index

Δ.	
A	
adjustments	45 47
cost approach credit risk	45–47 104–106
for depreciation/obsolescence income approach	46–47, 85 52–53, 62–63
market approach	31, 33, 34–36, 60, 102
asset standards see IVS Asset Standards	31, 33, 34–30, 00, 102
assets and liabilities	3, 6, 26
contributory assets	62, 63
existing asset	97–98
intangible see Intangible Assets (IVS 210)	01 00
lease liabilities	21–22, 74, 80, 86–87
operating and non-operating	55–56
subject asset	4
wasting assets	41–42
assumed use	24–26
assumptions	10, 11, 27–28
development property	93
plant and equipment	77
see also special assumptions	
attrition	71–72
_	
В	
bases of value	10
business and business interests	50
development property	89–90
financial instruments	101
intangible assets	59–60
plant and equipment	77–78
real property interests	83
Bases of Value (IVS 104)	16–28 27–28
assumptions and special assumptions	27–26
entity-specific factors fair market value	23
fair value	23–24
IVS defined	18–22
equitable value	21–22
investment value/worth	21–22
liquidation value	22
market rent	21, 86
market value	18–20
synergistic value	22
ojiloi giotio Talao	~~

premise of value	24–26
current use/existing use	25
forced sale	25–26 24
highest and best use orderly liquidation	25
synergies	26–27
transaction cost	20–27
blockage discounts	36
broker quotations	103–104
Business and Business Interests (IVS 200)	49–56
special considerations	53–56
business information	54-55
capital structure	56
economic and industry	55
operating and non-operating assets	55-56
ownership rights	54
valuation approaches and methods	50-53
business information	54–55
С	
capital structure considerations	56
capitalisation rate	52
cash flow	38–40
changes to the scope of work	11
client collateral	3, 10 106
comparable listings method	32
comparable transactions method	31–33
competence	7
completed property value	93-94
compliance with standards	6
see also Investigations and Compliance (IVS 102)	
consensus pricing services	104
constant growth model	41
construction costs	94
consultants' fees	94
contract rent	21, 86–87
contributory asset charge (CAC)	63
contributory assets	62, 63
control environment	106–107
control premiums	35–36, 54
cost approach	42–47
adjustments	45–47
business and business interests	53
development property	91–92
financial instruments	103
intangible assets	68–69 78–80
plant and equipment	78–80 85
real property interests	43–47
cost approach methods cost-to-capacity method	43–47 79–80
replacement cost	79-80 44
reproduction cost	44
summation method	44_45

cost-to-capacity method counterparty risk credit risk adjustments currency current use	79-80 104 104-106 10, 38-39 25
default protection departure depreciation development profit Development Property (IVS 410) assumptions and special assumptions special considerations completed property value construction costs consultants' fees development profit discount rate existing asset finance costs for financial reporting marketing costs for secured lending timetable valuation approaches and methods residual method discount rates business and business interests derivation of development property financial instruments intangible assets real property interests discounted cash flow (DCF) discounts for lack of control (DLOC) discounts for lack of marketability (DLOM) disposal cost distributor method	105 7, 11, 13 46-47 95-96 88-98 89-90 92-98 93-94 94 94 95-96 96-97 97-98 95 98 95 98 95 42, 85, 102-103 69-97 102-103 69-70, 73 84-85 37-42, 102-103 35-36 35 41-42 67-68
economic and industry considerations economic life of an intangible asset enterprise value entity-specific factors equitable value equity value excess earnings method existing asset existing use exit value explicit forecast period	55 70-72 50, 52 26 21-22 50, 52 62-64 97-98 25 41

fair market value (OECD) fair market value (USIRS) fair value (IFRS) fair value (legal/statutory) finance costs Financial Instruments (IVS 500)	23 23 23–24 95 99–107 103–107 106–107 104–106 103–104 101–103 23, 98, 105
forced sale	25–26
general standards see IVS General Standards glossary goodwill Gordon growth model greenfield method guideline publicly-traded comparable method guideline transactions method	3–5 58–59, 63 41 67 33–35 31–33
H hierarchy of interests highest and best use	86 20, 24
income approach adjustments business and business interests development property financial instruments intangible assets plant and equipment	36-42 52-53, 62-63 51-53 91 102-103 61-68 78
real property interests income approach methods discounted cash flow (DCF) distributor method excess earnings method greenfield method	84–85 37–42 37–42, 102–103 67–68 62–64 67
relief-from-royalty method with-and-without method information provided Intangible Assets (IVS 210) business and business interests plant and equipment real property interests	64-65 66-67 12-13 57-73 49-50 74-75 81-82

special considerations	69–73
discount rate/rate of return	69–70, 73
economic life	70–72
tax amortisation benefit (TAB)	72–73
valuation approaches and methods	60–69
International Valuation Standards Board	1, 2
International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC)	1
Investigations and Compliance (IVS 102)	12–13
financial instruments	100
intangible assets	59
plant and equipment	75–78
real property interests	82
investment property	84, 95
investment value	22
IVS Asset Standards	2
Business and Business Interests (IVS 200)	49–56
Development Property (IVS 410)	88–98
Financial Instruments (IVS 500)	99–107
Intangible Assets (IVS 210)	57–73
Plant and Equipment (IVS 300)	74–80
Real Property Interests (IVS 400)	81–87
IVS Definitions	3–5, 18–22
IVS Framework	2, 6–7
IVS General Standards	2
Bases of Value (IVS 104)	16–28
Investigations and Compliance (IVS 102)	12–13
Reporting (IVS 103)	14–15
Scope of Work (IVS 101)	9–11
Valuation Approaches and Methods (IVS 105)	29–47
J	
jurisdiction	3
jurisdiction	3
L	
land see Development Property (IVS 410); Real Property Interests	s (IVS 400)
lease liabilities	21–22, 86–87
plant and equipment	74, 80
leverage	105
liabilities see assets and liabilities	
liquidation value	22
liquidity	106
M	400
market activity	106
market approach	30–36, 41
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33, 34–36, 60, 102
business and business interests	50-51
development property	90–91
financial instruments	102
intangible assets	60–61
plant and equipment	78
real property interests	83–84

83-84

market approach methods comparable transactions method guideline publicly-traded comparable method	31–36 31–33 33–35
Market Participant Acquisition Premiums (MPAPs)	35–36 35–36
market rent	21, 86
market value	18–20
development property	93, 98
marketing costs	95 4
material/materiality matrix pricing	32
may	3
multiple approaches	29-30
must	3
N	
netting agreements	105
0	
objectivity	9-10, 106-107
IVS Framework	6–7
obsolescence	46–47
intangible assets	69 76 79 70
plant and equipment real property interests	76, 78, 79 85
operating and non-operating assets	55–56
operating value	50
orderly liquidation	25
ownership rights	54
P	
participant 4	
Plant and Equipment (IVS 300)	74–80
financing arrangements	80
special considerations	80
valuation approaches and methods	78–80 24–26
premise of value prior transactions method	31
property interests see Development Property (IVS 410); Real Prope	
Interests (IVS 400)	
prospective financial information (PFI)	39-40
purpose of valuation	4, 10
business and business interests	50
development property	88–89
financial instruments	99
intangible assets plant and equipment	58–59 76, 77
real property interests	83
R	
Real Property Interests (IVS 400)	81–87
special considerations	86–87

hierarchy of interests	86
rent	86–87
valuation approaches and methods	83–85
relief-from-royalty method	64–65
rent	21, 86–87
replacement cost method	44
intangible assets	69
plant and equipment	78–80
real property interests	85
Reporting (IVS 103)	14–15
financial instruments	100–101
plant and equipment	77
reproduction cost method	44
residual method	92–97
risk assessment	63, 69–70
credit risk adjustments	104–106
development property	95–96
royalty rate	64–65
S	
salvage value	41–42
Scope of Work (IVS 101)	9–11
business and business interests	50
development property	89–90
financial instruments	100
plant and equipment	76–77
real property interests	82–83
secured lending	98
sensitivity analysis	89
should	4
significant and/or material	4
special assumptions	10, 11
bases of value (IVS 104)	25, 27–28
development property	89–90, 93
real property interests	82–83
reporting (IVS 103)	14
special considerations	
business and business interests	53–56
development property	92–98
financial instruments	103–107
for financial reporting	98
intangible assets	69–73
plant and equipment	80
real property interests	86–87
for secured lending	98
standards of value see Bases of Value (IVS 104)	
subject or subject asset	4
subordination	105
summation method	44–45
synergies	26–27
synergistic value	22

22

T	72–73
tax amortisation benefit (TAB) terminal value	40-42
timetable	95
total invested capital value	50
transaction cost	28
transactions	17, 19, 31–33
U	
units of comparison	32, 83
V	
valuation approaches	
business and business interests	50-53
development property	90–97
financial instruments	101–103
intangible assets	60–69
plant and equipment	78–80
real property interests	83–85
Valuation Approaches and Methods (IVS 105)	29–47
cost approach	42–47 45–46
cost considerations depreciation/obsolescence	45–46 46–47
methods	44-45
income approach	36–42
methods	37–42
market approach	30–36
methods	31–35
other considerations	35–36
valuation control	106-107
valuation date	10, 19–20
valuation inputs	103-104
valuation purpose see purpose of valuation	
valuation record	13
valuation report see Reporting (IVS 103)	
valuation review reports	15
valuation reviewer	5, 6
valuer	3, 4, 5
objectivity	6–7, 9–10
W	
wasting assets	41–42
weight	5
weighting	5
with-and-without method	66–67

worth



International Valuation Standards

International Valuation Standards Council, 1 King Street, London EC2V 8AU, United Kingdom

Email: contact@ivsc.org Web: www.ivsc.org

